Key words: coronavirus MHV/mRNA 4/translation product

# Identification of the Coronavirus MHV-JHM mRNA 4 Product

By DORLE EBNER, THOMAS RAABE AND STUART G. SIDDELL\* Institute of Virology, University of Würzburg, Versbacherstrasse 7, 8700 Würzburg, F.R.G.

(Accepted 1 February 1988)

### SUMMARY

A bacterial expression vector was constructed to encode a fusion protein which had, at its carboxy terminus, a polypeptide encoded within the 5' proximal open reading frame of the coronavirus MHV-JHM mRNA 4. This polypeptide was isolated and used to produce an antiserum. The antiserum reacted specifically with a 15000  $M_r$ polypeptide synthesized in MHV-JHM-infected cells, or *in vitro* translations of infected cell poly(A) RNA enriched for mRNA 4. These results demonstrate the translational activity of mRNA 4 during infection, identify conclusively the translation product and provide a means to investigate the synthesis and function of this protein.

### INTRODUCTION

The murine hepatitis virus (MHV) belongs to the Coronaviridae, a mono-generic group of enveloped positive-stranded RNA viruses. The three major proteins of the MHV virion are the nucleocapsid (N), membrane (M) and surface (S) proteins. In MHV-infected cells the expression of the viral genome is mediated by a 3' co-terminal set of subgenomic mRNAs. The subgenomic mRNAs 3, 6 and 7 encode the S, M and N proteins respectively (Lai *et al.*, 1981; Spaan *et al.*, 1982; Makino *et al.*, 1984; Rottier *et al.*, 1981; Leibowitz *et al.*, 1982b; Siddell, 1983). The data available from *in vitro* translations and sequence analysis suggest that, for the structural protein mRNAs at least, only the 5' unique regions, i.e. those absent from the next smallest RNA, are translationally active and only single polypeptides are translated (Sturman & Holmes, 1983; Siddell, 1987).

The MHV genome also encodes an unknown number of non-structural proteins and it is assumed that at least some of these proteins are encoded in the unique regions of mRNAs 1, 4 and 5. However, the characterization of the translation products of these mRNAs is rudimentary (Leibowitz et al., 1982b; Siddell, 1983; Denison & Perlman, 1986, 1987). The unique region of MHV-JHM mRNA 4 has been cloned and sequenced (Skinner & Siddell, 1985). This region contains a single open reading frame (ORF) which predicts a polypeptide of  $M_r$  15000. A polypeptide of this size has been identified in MHV-JHM-infected cells (Siddell et al., 1981) and in in vitro translation products of MHV-JHM RNA fractions enriched for mRNAs 4 and 5 (Siddell, 1983). However, the unique regions of mRNAs 4 and 5 contain ORFs of comparable size (Skinner et al., 1985) and it has not been possible to assign the infected cell protein or in vitro translation product to one or the other mRNA. The aim of the work described here was to resolve this question; the strategy used was to construct a vector which directed the synthesis, in bacteria, of a fusion protein composed of  $\beta$ -galactosidase and the translation product of the carboxy-terminal region of the mRNA 4 ORF. The coronavirus portion of the fusion protein was isolated and used to produce monospecific antiserum in rabbits. This antiserum was then used in the experiments described herein.

#### METHODS

Virus, cells, infection and radiolabelling. To prepare virus stocks, the JHM strain of MHV was plaque-purified and grown on Sac(-) cells at low m.o.i. (Siddell *et al.*, 1980). For the purpose of radiolabelling, Sac(-) cells were

infected with MHV-JHM at an m.o.i. of 10 and were labelled 10 h post-infection (p.i.) for 60 min with  $[^{35}S]$  methionine (SJ.204; Amersham; 100  $\mu$ Ci/ml). The cells were washed and cytoplasmic lysates were prepared using a non-ionic detergent buffer at 4 °C as previously described (Siddell *et al.*, 1981).

mRNA isolation, in vitro translation. Poly(A) RNA was isolated from the cytoplasm of MHV-JHM-infected Sac(-) cells (Siddell *et al.*, 1980) and fractions enriched for subgenomic mRNAs 4 and 5 were obtained by centrifugation in sucrose-formamide gradients (Smith *et al.*, 1976). In vitro translations were performed in a messenger-dependent cell-free system derived from L cells (Dahl & Dickson, 1979).

Molecular cloning. Restriction endonucleases and DNA-modifying enzymes were purchased from Boehringer and used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Cloning experiments were performed in *Escherichia coli* strains JM105 and BMH71-18. Plasmid pUC18 was used as the cloning vector and plasmids pUR291 and pUR292 for the expression of fusion proteins (Yanisch-Perron *et al.*, 1985; Rüther & Müller-Hill, 1983). cDNA fragments encoding coronavirus genes were derived from the plasmid pJMS1010 isolated and characterized by Skinner *et al.* (1985). The arrangement and location of known and putative MHV-JHM genes in pJMS1010 and their relationship to the subgenomic mRNAs is shown in Fig. 1.

Construction of fusion protein expression vectors. The vector that was used to express a fusion protein composed of  $\beta$ -galactosidase and the carboxy-terminal half (approx.) of the mRNA 4 unique region ORF (ORF 4) product was constructed as follows. The plasmid pJMS1010 was digested with *Pst*I and the viral cDNA insert was isolated and digested with *Taq*I. The resulting fragments were ligated with *Acc*I-cut, phosphatase-treated pUC18 and were used to transform JM105. Transformants were selected by colony hybridization using the 3' *Hin*FI fragment of the pJMS1010 insert, which had been labelled with <sup>32</sup>P by nick translation. Plasmid DNA from positive clones was digested with *Bam*HI/*Hin*dIII and inserts of approximately 250 bp were subcloned into *Bam*HI/*Hin*dIII-cut, M13mp18 replicative form (RF) DNA. The inserts were then sequenced and their orientation was determined. A *Bam*HI/*Hin*dIII fragment corresponding to the 3' half of ORF 4, i.e. essentially the 255 bp *Taq*I fragment of the pJMS1010 insert, was then ligated with *Bam*HI/*Hin*dIII-cut pUR291 and used to transform BMH71-18 cells. This forced cloning resulted in a 'gene' encoding a fusion protein (F4, 1100 amino acids, *M<sub>r</sub>* 124800) composed of  $\beta$ -galactosidase fused to six amino acids resulting from cloning procedures and 71 amino acids preceding the ORF 4 termination codon. Finally, the plasmid DNA in one transformant (pDEF4) was purified, the insert excised with *Eco*RI, subcloned into *Eco*RI-cut, phosphatase-treated M13mp18 RF DNA and the construction checked by sequence analysis.

A second vector that was used to express a fusion protein composed of  $\beta$ -galactosidase and the carboxy-terminal third (approx.) of the mRNA 3 unique region ORF (ORF 3) product (i.e. the virion S protein) was also constructed. The plasmid pJMS1010 was digested with *PstI* and the viral cDNA insert was isolated and digested with *HpaII*. These fragments were ligated with *PstI*-cut, phosphatase-treated pUR292. The ligation products were treated with T4 DNA polymerase to produce flush ends and were then religated. This material was used to transform BMH71-18 cells and transformants were screened directly, by gel electrophoresis of bacterial lysates, for the expression of fusion proteins. The desired construct, pDEF3, was identified by its ability to produce a fusion protein (F3, 1409 amino acids,  $M_r$  158800) composed of  $\beta$ -galactosidase, five amino acids resulting from cloning procedures and the 382 amino acids preceding the ORF 3 termination codon.

Induction and purification of fusion proteins. Cultures of BMH71-18 cells containing either pDEF4 or pDEF3 were grown to mid-logarithmic phase in the presence of 0.25 mM-isopropyl- $\beta$ -D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The cells were harvested, washed, treated with lysozyme (1 mg/ml) for 15 min at 4 °C and sonicated in 60 mM-Tris-HCl pH 6.8 containing 50 mM-2-mercaptoethanol, 100 units/ml aprotinin and 0.1 mM-EDTA. The cell membranes were pelleted and dissolved in the same buffer containing 2% SDS. The lysates were heated to 100 °C for 5 min before electrophoresis.

The coronavirus-encoded portion of fusion protein F4 was obtained by digestion of 10 mg of purified F4 protein with 1 mg of *Staphylococcus aureus* V8 protease at 37 °C for 15 h in 50 mM-NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub>. The digestion products were separated by preparative electrophoresis and the coronavirus encoded-peptide, P4, was isolated.

Immunological methods. Immunizations were performed by subcutaneous injections of New Zealand White rabbits using standard procedures and the antisera were used without further purification. Indirect immunofluorescence was performed on MHV-JHM-infected Sac(-) cells fixed with 3% formaldehyde and permeabilized with Triton X-100 (Massa *et al.*, 1986). Rhodamine-labelled swine anti-rabbit IgG (Dakopatts) was used as the second antibody. Immunoprecipitations of cytoplasmic lysates or *in vitro* translation products were performed as described by Siddell *et al.* (1981), except that immune complexes were bound to Protein A-Sepharose (Pharmacia). The preparation of anti-JHM virion serum has been described previously (Siddell *et al.*, 1980). Western blots were performed using a semi-dry blotting system (Kyhse-Andersen, 1984). Bacterial lysates were separated on a 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. First antibodies were used at dilutions of 1:100. The second antibody, peroxidase-linked swine anti-rabbit IgG (Dakopatts), was used at a dilution of 1:200.

Neutralization assay. Antibodies, serum or ascites fluid, which had been inactivated at 56 °C for 30 min, were incubated in tenfold dilutions with 50 p.f.u. of MHV-JHM virus for 1 h at 4 °C. The infectious virus was then



Fig. 1. Location of open reading frames and restriction sites (P, PstI; T, TaqI, H, HinfIII; Hp, HpaII) in pJMS1010 and their relationship to MHV-JHM subgenomic mRNAs.

measured by titration on monolayers of L929 cells. The monolayers were stained 16 h p.i. with May-Grünwald stain and the number of plaques was determined.

General methods. SDS-polyacrylamide gels were prepared as described by Laemmli (1970). Proteins were recovered from preparative gels by electroelution, using a commercial system (Renner, Dannstadt, F.R.G.). Plasmid DNA purification, agarose gel electrophoresis, electroelution of DNA fragments, colony hybridizations and nick translations were all performed according to standard procedures (Maniatis *et al.*, 1982). Transformations were carried out using the procedures described by Hanahan (1983). M13 dideoxynucleotide sequencing was carried out with  $[\alpha^{-35}S]dATP$  and the products were analysed on buffer gradient gels (Biggin *et al.*, 1983).

### RESULTS

# Expression of fusion proteins

Fig. 2(a) shows a Coomassie Brilliant Blue-stained gel of lysates from uninduced and induced BMH71-18 cells containing pDEF4 (lanes 5 and 6) or pDEF3 (lanes 7 and 8). By comparison with BMH71-18 cells alone (lanes 1 and 2) or cells containing pUR291 (lanes 3 and 4), both recombinant plasmids gave rise to large amounts of fusion proteins when induced. The fusion proteins which were expressed were of the expected size and were synthesized in sufficient amounts to allow preparative isolation. In the case of fusion protein F3, the gel-purified material was used directly for immunization. In the case of fusion protein F4, the gel-purified material was digested with *S. aureus* V8 protease and the coronavirus-encoded portion of the protein, peptide 4 (P4;  $M_r$  10650) was isolated by preparative gel electrophoresis. Under the conditions used V8 protease cleaved specifically at glutamate residues, an amino acid that was absent from P4. A comparison of V8 protease-digested  $\beta$ -galactosidase and fusion protein F4 (Fig. 2b) clearly demonstrated the specificity of the protease and the generation of P4 in the digested material. P4 had 15 amino acids at its amino terminus that are encoded at the carboxy terminus of the  $\beta$ -galactosidase gene.

### Analysis of anti-P4 serum specificity

The antiserum produced by immunization with P4 was tested for its specificity by Western blotting. Fig. 2(c) (lanes 1, 2 and 3) shows that  $\beta$ -galactosidase, fusion protein F4 and peptide P4 were all recognized by the serum. The inclusion of soluble  $\beta$ -galactosidase in the first antibody reaction buffer essentially blocked the reaction with filter-bound  $\beta$ -galactosidase but did not significantly reduce the reactions with F4 or P4 (lanes 4, 5 and 6). This demonstrates that the



Fig. 2. (a) Induction of fusion protein expression in bacteria, induced by growth in 0.25 mM-IPTG. Cell lysates were prepared, electrophoresed on a 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel and then stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250. Lane 1, BMH71-18/-, uninduced; lane 2, BMH71-18/-, induced; lane 3, BMH71-18/pUR291, uninduced; lane 4, BMH71-18/pUR291, induced; lane 5, BMH71-18/pDEF4, uninduced; lane 6, BMH71-18/pDEF3, induced; lane 7, BMH71-18/pDEF3, induced; lane 6, BMH71-18/pDEF4, induced; lane 7, BMH71-18/pDEF3, uninduced; lane 8, BMH71-18/pDEF3, induced. (b) Digestion of fusion protein F4 (lane 1) and  $\beta$ -galactosidase (lane 2) with S. aureus V8 protease at an enzyme :protein ratio of 1:10. The digestion products were analysed on a 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. M, molecular weight markers, albumin (69000), trypsin inhibitor (21500), cytochrome c (12500), aprotinin (6500). The arrow shows the location of the peptide P4. (c) The specificity of the anti-P4 serum was tested by Western blotting using  $\beta$ -galactosidase (lanes 2 and 5) or peptide P4 (lanes 3 to 6) in the absence (lanes 1, 2 and 3) or presence (lanes 4, 5 and 6) of soluble  $\beta$ -galactosidase (100 µg/mI) in the first antibody buffer.

serum contained antibodies specific for both P4 and the carboxy-terminal region of  $\beta$ -galactosidase. No immune reactions were observed with preimmune serum (data not shown).

## Analysis of anti-F3 serum specificity

The specificity of the anti-F3 serum was demonstrated by the immunoprecipitation of a  $150000 M_r$  polypeptide from MHV-JHM-infected Sac(-) cells (Fig. 3, lane 4). This polypeptide has previously been shown by tryptic peptide fingerprinting to be the co-translationally glycosylated intracellular precursor to the virion S protein (Siddell, 1982). Furthermore, it was possible to demonstrate that the anti-F3 serum did not contain detectable amounts of virus-neutralizing activity Fig. 4 shows that a previously characterized neutralizing monoclonal antibody, A1 (E2-Aa) (Wege *et al.*, 1984), at a dilution of 1:10000 was able to neutralize 50 p.f.u. of JHM virus fully (Fig. 4a). The anti-F3 serum, or preimmune serum at dilutions ranging from 1:10000 to 1:10, did not display any detectable neutralizing activity (Fig. 4b, c).

### Identification of the mRNA 4 product in vitro

Fig. 5 shows the results of *in vitro* translation in an L cell system of poly(A) RNA from MHV-JHM-infected cells and fractions enriched for mRNAs 4 and 5. As previously described (Siddell, 1983), the total poly(A) RNA directed the synthesis of two major products, identified as the intracellular M ( $M_r$  23000) and N ( $M_r$  60000) polypeptides (lane 3). Both polypeptides were specifically immunoprecipitated by the anti-JHM virion serum (lane 9) compared to preimmune



Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 3. Immunoprecipitation of *in vivo* labelled cytoplasmic lysates with anti-F3 serum. [<sup>35</sup>S]Methionine-labelled cytoplasmic lysates were analysed on a 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, directly or following immunoprecipitation. Lane 1, mock-infected cell lysate; lane 2, MHV-JHM-infected cell lysate; lane 3, anti-F3 serum, mock-infected; lane 4, anti-F3 serum, MHV-JHM-infected; lane 5, preimmune serum, mock-infected; lane 6, preimmune serum, MHV-JHM-infected.

Fig. 4. Neutralization of MHV-JHM. Fifty p.f.u. of MHV-JHM was incubated with (a) monoclonal antibody A1 (E2-Aa), 1:10000 dilution, (b) anti-F3 serum, 1:100 dilution and (c) pre-immune serum, 1:100 dilution. The remaining virus was titrated in L929 cells. A representative area of the cell monolayer is shown.

serum (lane 8). Immunoprecipitation of the total poly(A) RNA translation products with the anti-P4 serum (lane 10) did not reveal the immunoprecipitation of any specific polypeptide. The observed precipitation of the M protein appeared to be non-specific as the preimmune serum (lane 8) also produced a similar result. Additionally, we observed a non-specific binding of the M protein to Protein A–Sepharose alone, which may be due to the hydrophobic properties of the integral membrane protein (Rottier *et al.*, 1984).

When the translation products of the poly(A) RNA fraction enriched for mRNAs 4 and 5 were reacted with the anti-P4 serum (lane 7) a clear and specific immunoprecipitation of a 15000  $M_r$ 



Fig. 5. Immunoprecipitation of *in vitro* translation products with anti-P4 serum. *In vitro* translations were performed with equivalent amounts (0.5  $\mu$ g) of poly(A) RNA. The products were analysed on a 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel directly or following immunoprecipitation. Autoradiography of lanes 5 to 13 was three times longer than for lanes 1 to 4. Lane M,  $M_{\tau}$  markers, albumin (69000), ovalbumin (46000), carbonic anhydrase (30000), lysozyme (14300); lane 1, no RNA; lane 2, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected cells; lane 3, poly(A) RNA, MHV-JHM-infected cells; lane 4, RNA pool 4 + 5, lane 7, anti-P4 serum, RNA pool 4 + 5; lane 8, preimmune serum, poly(A) RNA, MHV-JHM-infected; lane 9, anti-JHM virion serum, poly(A) RNA, MHV-JHM-infected; lane 10, anti-P4 serum, poly(A) RNA, MHV-JHM-infected; lane 10, anti-P4 serum, poly(A) RNA, MHV-JHM-infected; lane 10, anti-P4 serum, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected; lane 11, preimmune serum, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected; lane 12, anti-JHM virion serum, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected; lane 13, anti-P4 serum, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected; lane 13, anti-P4 serum, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected; lane 13, anti-P4 serum, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected; lane 14, preimmune serum, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected; lane 14, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected; lane 15, anti-P4 serum, poly(A) RNA, mock-infected; lane 14, preimmune seru

polypeptide was observed. The polypeptide was not detected with preimmune serum (lane 5), anti-JHM virion serum (lane 6) or in the products of translation of uninfected cell RNA (lanes 2, 11, 12 and 13).

# Identification of the mRNA 4 product in vivo

Fig. 6 shows the immunofluorescence appearance of MHV-JHM-infected Sac(-) cells using the anti-F3, anti-P4 or preimmune serum. By comparison with the preimmune serum (c), the anti-F3 serum (a) produced a clear staining of syncytia, the characteristic cytopathic effect of MHV-JHM infection. The immune staining was diffuse and restricted to the cytoplasm. The anti-P4 serum (b) also produced a clear, albeit less intense, staining of the syncytial cytoplasm. Mock-infected cells did not show any specific immunofluorescence with anti-P4 serum (d).

Fig. 7 shows the immunoprecipitation of [ $^{35}$ S]methionine-labelled cytoplasmic lysates from MHV-JHM-infected, or mock-infected, cells using preimmune or anti-P4 serum. In the nonimmunoprecipitated lysates (lanes 1 and 2) infection-specific polypeptides of 150000, 60000 and 23000  $M_r$ , previously identified as the intracellular S, M and N proteins (Siddell, 1983), are seen. In comparison to the preimmune serum, the anti-P4 serum specifically immunoprecipitated only a 15000  $M_r$  polypeptide from infected cell lysates (lanes 3, 4, 5 and 6). Again, a small amount of non-specific M protein precipitation was seen using each serum and the preimmune serum appeared to contain two activities (cross-)reacting with cellular polypeptides.



Fig. 6. Indirect immunofluorescence of MHV-JHM-infected cells. Infected cells were fixed, permeabilized, reacted with first antibody and stained with rhodamine-labelled second antibody. Photographs were taken with a Leitz Dialux 22EB fluorescence microscope. Bar marker represents 20  $\mu$ m. (a) Anti-F3 serum, MHV-JHM-infected cells; (b) anti-P4 serum, MHV-JHM-infected cells; (c) preimmune serum, MHV-JHM-infected cells; (d) anti-P4 serum, mock-infected cells.

## DISCUSSION

The data presented in this paper show conclusively that the translation product of the MHV-JHM mRNA 4 is a 15000  $M_r$  polypeptide encoded in a single ORF within the 5' unique region of the mRNA. Sequence analysis of this gene (Skinner & Siddell, 1985) has shown that the initiation codon for ORF 4 lies in a favoured context, GUUAUGG (Kozak, 1983), and is not preceded by any potential upstream initiation codons. The translation product predicted from the nucleotide sequence is a threonine-rich polypeptide with a hydrophobic amino terminus and a basic carboxy terminus. The inability of anti-JHM virion serum to precipitate this polypeptide supports the proposal that mRNA 4 product is a non-structural protein (Siddell, 1983). However, the possibility that it is a minor virion component has not been entirely excluded. At the present time, nothing is known about the function of the 15000  $M_r$  protein; however, the anti-P4 serum described here will be useful for defining its cellular location, the kinetics of its synthesis and possibly its association with either viral or host cell proteins.

The strategy that has been used to produce the anti-P4 serum can also be applied to other coronavirus gene products. The immunofluorescence and immunoprecipitation data indicate that the anti-F3 serum recognizes the MHV-JHM S protein. The antibodies present within the serum are directed against epitopes within the carboxy-terminal region of the S2 polypeptide (Schmidt *et al.*, 1987) and we hope that such antiserum will be useful in delineating biological functions. For example, we have shown that the anti-F3 serum does not contain any detectable virus-neutralizing activity. It should be borne in mind that the anti-F3 serum was produced using an antigen synthesized in bacteria but, nevertheless, this result correlates with the

#### D. EBNER, T. RAABE AND S. G. SIDDELL



Fig. 7. Immunoprecipitation of *in vivo* labelled cytoplasmic lysates with anti-P4 serum. [ $^{35}S$ ]Methionine-labelled cytoplasmic lysates were analysed on a 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel directly or following immunoprecipitation. Autoradiography of all lanes was for equivalent times. Lane M,  $M_r$  markers, carbonic anhydrase (30000), trypsin inhibitor (21 500), cytochrome c (12 500), aprotinin (6500); lane 1, mock-infected cell lysate; lane 2, MHV-JHM-infected cell lysate; lane 3, anti-P4 serum, mock-infected; lane 4, anti-P4 serum, MHV-JHM-infected; lane 5, pre-immune serum, mock-infected; lane 6, preimmune serum, MHV-JHM-infected.

conclusions of Cavanagh et al. (1986) who proposed that neutralizing epitopes on the coronavirus S protein are located within the amino-terminal S1 polypeptide.

Finally, the strategy described here may be useful in characterizing further coronavirus nonstructural proteins. For example, attempts to identify the coronavirus RNA polymerase have proved to be generally unsuccessful and there is only a single report of an intracellular polypeptide which is thought to be a component of this enzyme (Denison & Perlman, 1987). The analysis of the genomic sequence of coronavirus IBV (Boursnell *et al.*, 1987), *in vitro* translations of MHV genomic RNA (Leibowitz *et al.*, 1982b; Denison & Perlman, 1986) and the complementation analysis of MHV temperature-sensitive mutants (Leibowitz *et al.*, 1982*a*) suggest that the virus-coded RNA polymerase is a multifunctional, high  $M_r$  polypeptide which may undergo extensive post-translational modification. Specific antiserum to the whole or parts of the RNA polymerase would be invaluable in the investigation of the synthesis, processing and function of this enzyme, which is central to the replication of coronaviruses. We would like to thank U. Rüther and E. Pfaff for vectors and advice, Barbara Schelle-Prinz for technical assistance, V. ter Meulen for support and Kerstin Griebel for typing the manuscript. This work was supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich 165 'Gene Expression'.

#### REFERENCES

- BIGGIN, M. D., GIBSON, T. G. & HONG, G. F. (1983). Buffer gradient gels and <sup>35</sup>S label as an aid to rapid DNA sequence determination. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A. 80, 3963–3965.
- BOURSNELL, M. E. G., BROWN, T. D. K., FOULDS, I. J., GREEN, P. F., TOMLEY, F. M. & BINNS, M. M. (1987). Completion of the sequence of the genome of the coronavirus avian infectious bronchitis virus. *Journal of General Virology* 68, 57-77.
- CAVANAGH, D., DAVIS, P. J., DARBYSHIRE, J. H. & PETERS, R. W. (1986). Coronavirus IBV: virus retaining spike glycopolypeptide S2 but not S1 is unable to induce virus neutralization or haemagglutination-inhibiting antibody, or induce chicken tracheal protection *Journal of General Virology* 67, 1435–1442.
- DAHL, H.-H. M. & DICKSON, C. (1979). Cell-free synthesis of mouse mammary tumor virus Pr77 from virion and intracellular mRNA. Journal of Virology 29, 1131-1141.
- DENISON, M. R. & PERLMAN, S. (1986). Translation and processing of mouse hepatitis virus virion RNA in a cell-free system. Journal of Virology 60, 12-18.
- DENISON, M. & PERLMAN, S. (1987). Identification of putative polymerase gene products in cells infected with murine coronavirus A59. Virology 157, 565-568.
- HANAHAN, D. (1983). Studies on transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 166, 557–580.
- KOZAK, M. (1983). Comparison of initiation of protein synthesis in procaryotes, eucaryotes and organelles. Microbiological Reviews 47, 1-45.
- KYHSE-ANDERSEN, J. (1984). Electroblotting of multiple gels: a simple apparatus without buffer tank for rapid transfer of proteins from polyacrylamide to nitrocellulose. Journal of Biophysical and Biochemical Methods 10, 203-209.
- LAEMMLI, U. K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature, London 227, 680-685.
- LAI, M. M. C., BRAYTON, P. R., ARMEN, R. C., PATTON, C. D., PUGH, C. & STOHLMAN, S. A. (1981). Mouse hepatitis virus A59: mRNA structure and genetic localization of the sequence divergence from hepatotropic strain MHV-3. Journal of Virology 39, 823–834.
- LEIBOWITZ, J. L., DEVRIES, J. R. & HASPEL, M. D. (1982a). Genetic analysis of murine hepatitis virus strain JHM. Journal of Virology 42, 1080-1087.
- LEIBOWITZ, J. L., WEISS, S. R., PAAVOLA, E. & BOND, C. W. (1982b). Cell-free translation of murine coronavirus RNA. Cell-free translation of murine coronavirus RNA. Journal of Virology 43, 905–913.
- MAKINO, S., TAGUCHI, F., HIRANO, N. & FUJIWARA, K. (1984). Analysis of genomic and intracellular viral RNAs of small plaque mutants of mouse hepatitis virus, JHM strain. Virology 139, 138-151.
- MANIATIS, T., FRITSCH, E. F. & SAMBROOK, J. (1982). *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- MASSA, P. T., DÖRRIES, R. & TER MEULEN, V. (1986). Virus particles induce Ia antigen expression on astrocytes. Nature, London 320, 543.
- ROTTIER, P. J. M., SPAAN, W. J. M., HORZINEK, M. C. & VAN DER ZEIJST, B. A. M. (1981). Translation of three mouse hepatitis virus (MHV-A59) subgenomic RNAs in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. *Journal of Virology* 38, 20–26.
- ROTTIER, P., BRANDENBURG, D., ARMSTRONG, J., VAN DER ZEIJST, B. & WARREN, G. (1984). Assembly in vitro of a spanning membrane protein of the endoplasmic reticulum: the E1 glycoprotein of coronavirus mouse hepatitis virus A59. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A. 81, 1421-1425.
- RÜTHER, U. & MÜLLER-HILL, B. (1983). Easy identification of cDNA clones. EMBO Journal 1, 1791-1794.
- SCHMIDT, I., SKINNER, M. & SIDDELL, S. (1987). Nucleotide sequence of the gene encoding the surface projection glycoprotein of coronavirus MHV-JHM. Journal of General Virology 68, 47-56.
- SIDDELL, S. G. (1982). Coronavirus JHM: tryptic peptide fingerprinting of virion proteins and intracellular polypeptides. *Journal of General Virology* **62**, 259-269.
- SIDDELL, S. G. (1983). Coronavirus JHM: coding assignments of subgenomic mRNAs. Journal of General Virology 64, 113-125.
- SIDDELL, S. G. (1987). Organization and expression of coronavirus genomes. In The Molecular Biology of the Positive Strand RNA Viruses, pp. 117-127. Edited by D. J. Rowlands, M. A. Mayo & B. W. J. Mahy. London: Academic Press.
- SIDDELL, S. G., WEGE, H., BARTHEL, A. & TER MEULEN, V. (1980). Coronavirus JHM: cell-free synthesis of structural protein p60. Journal of Virology 33, 10–17.
- SIDDELL, S. G., WEGE, H., BARTHEL, A. & TER MEULEN, V. (1981). Coronavirus JHM: Intracellular protein synthesis. Journal of General Virology 53, 145-155.
- SKINNER, M. A. & SIDDELL, S. G. (1985). Coding sequence of coronavirus MHV-JHM mRNA 4. Journal of General Virology 66, 593–596.
- SKINNER, M. A., EBNER, D. & SIDDELL, S. G. (1985). Coronavirus MHV-JHM mRNA 5 has a sequence arrangement which allows translation of a second, downstream open reading frame. Journal of General Virology 66, 581-592.

- SMITH, A. E., KAMEN, R., MANGEL, W. F., SHURE, W. & WHEELER, T. (1976). Location of the sequences coding for capsid proteins VP1 and VP2. Cell 9, 481-487.
- SPAAN, W. J. M., ROTTIER, P. J. M., HORZINEK, M. C. & VAN DER ZEIJST, B. A. M. (1982). Sequence relationships between the genome and the intracellular RNA species 1, 3, 6 and 7 of mouse hepatitis virus strain A59. Journal of Virology 42, 432–439.
- STURMAN, L. S. & HOLMES, K. V. (1983). The molecular biology of coronaviruses. Advances in Virus Research 28, 35-112.
- WEGE, H., DÖRRIES, R. & WEGE, H. (1984). Hybridoma antibodies to the murine coronavirus JHM: characterization of epitopes on the peplomer protein (E2). *Journal of General Virology* **65**, 1931–1942.
- YANISCH-PERRON, C., VIEIRA, J. & MESSING, J. (1985). Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mp18 and pUC19 vectors. *Gene* 33, 103-119.

(Received 23 September 1987)