## Isolated HE-Protein from Hemagglutinating Encephalomyelitis Virus and Bovine Coronavirus Has Receptor-Destroying and Receptor-Binding Activity

BEATE SCHULTZE,\* KURT WAHN,† HANS-DIETER KLENK,\* AND GEORG HERRLER\*'1

\*Institut für Virologie, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Marburg, Federal Republic of Germany; and †Institut für Virologie, Justus-Liebig-Universität Giessen, Giessen, Federal Republic of Germany

Received April 19, 1990; accepted September 14, 1990

Bovine coronavirus (BCV) and hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (HEV) from swine were found to grow to high titers in MDCK I cells, a subline of Madin Darby canine kidney cells. Virus grown in these cells was used to isolate and purify the HE-protein. This protein has been shown recently to have acetylesterase activity and to function as the receptor-destroying enzyme of BCV. Here we show that HEV contains this enzyme, too. The glycoproteins were solubilized by treatment of virions with octylglucoside. Following centrifugation through a sucrose gradient the surface proteins S and HE (hemagglutinin-esterase) were obtained in purified form. After removal of the detergent by dialysis, HE formed rosettes as shown by electron microscopy. The purified HE protein retained acetylesterase activity and was able to function as a receptor-destroying enzyme rendering red blood cells resistant against agglutination by both coronaviruses. HE protein released from the viral membrane failed to agglutinate red blood cells. However, it was found to recognize glycoconjugates containing *N*-acetyl-9-*O*-acetylneuraminic acid as indicated by a binding assay with rat serum proteins blotted to nitrocellulose and by its ability to inhibit the hemagglutinating activity of BCV, HEV, and influenza C virus. The purified enzyme provides a useful tool for analyzing the cellular receptors for coronaviruses.

#### INTRODUCTION

It has been reported recently that bovine coronavirus (BCV) contains a receptor-destroying enzyme (Vlasak et al., 1988a). This is the first example of such an enzyme present on a positive-stranded RNA virus. Up to then, receptor-destroying enzymes have been known as structural components only of negative-stranded RNA viruses: influenza viruses and paramyxoviruses (Hirst, 1950). Influenza A and B viruses as well as paramyxoviruses inactivate their receptors by means of a neuraminidase which releases terminal sialic acid from glycoconjugates (Klenk et al., 1955). The receptordestroying enzyme of influenza C virus, on the other hand, cleaves not a glycosidic linkage but rather an ester linkage. It has been identified as an acetylesterase (Herrler et al., 1985c), which is able to release acetate from various synthetic substrates (Vlasak et al., 1987; Schauer et al., 1988). The receptor-destroying activity, however, is due to the release of the acetyl group from position C-9 of N-acetyl-9-O-acetylneuraminic acid (Neu5,9Ac<sub>2</sub>) (Herrler et al., 1985c). The 9-O-acetyl residue is crucial for the ability of influenza C virus to recognize a glycoprotein as a receptor (Herrler et al., 1985c; Rogers et al., 1986).

The intriguing observation of a sequence similarity between the glycoprotein HEF of influenza C virus and a protein sequence derived from an open reading frame within the genome of MHV-A59 (mouse hepatitis virus) (Luytjes et al., 1988) led to the finding that BCV has the same type of receptor-destroying enzyme as influenza C virus (Vlasak et al., 1988a). The acetylesterase activity of BCV has been shown to be a function of the glycoprotein HE (Vlasak et al., 1988b), the amino acid sequence of which has been derived from the nucleotide sequence of the cloned mRNA (Parker et al., 1989). Interestingly, this protein is present only on some coronaviruses, while others, such as avian infectious bronchitis virus, porcine transmissible gastroenteritis virus, and feline infectious peritonitis virus, are lacking a corresponding protein (Spaan et al., 1988). The genome of MHV-A59 contains an open reading frame coding for an HE protein, which is, however, not expressed in infected cells (Luytjes et al., 1988; Shieh et al., 1989). Other murine coronaviruses contain such a protein (Sugiyama and Amano, 1980; Shieh et al., 1989) and the HE protein of the strain MHV-JHM has been shown to have acetylesterase activity (Yokomori et al., 1989; Pfleiderer et al., 1990).

We describe the isolation and purification of HE from BCV and from porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus (HEV). The activities of the purified glycoprotein are reported.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To whom requests for reprints should be addressed.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Viruses and cells

Strain L-9 of BCV was obtained from Dr. Rott (Giessen, FRG). Strain NT-9 of HEV was provided by Dr. Hess (Koblenz, FRG). MDCK I cells, a subline of Madin-Darby canine kidney cells, were maintained as described previously (Herrler *et al.*, 1988a).

## Growth and purification of virus

BCV and HEV were grown in MDCK I cells as reported recently (Schultze et al., 1990). Virus was harvested from the supernatant 48 hr p.i. After clarification of the medium by low-speed centrifugation (3000 rpm, 10 min), virus was sedimented by ultracentrifugation at 25,000 rpm for 1 hr in a SW 28 rotor. The pellet was resuspended in PBS and layered on a sucrose gradient (5–50% w/w in PBS). After centrifugation in a SW 55 Ti rotor at 35,000 rpm for 40 min, the virus band was collected, diluted with PBS, and sedimented under the same centrifugation conditions. The virus pellet was resuspended in PBS and used for (i) analysis by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; (ii) determination of the esterase activity; (iii) hemagglutination and hemagglutination-inhibition assays; and (iv) purification of the viral glycoproteins.

## Isolation and purification of viral glycoproteins

Purified coronavirus suspended in 800 µl PBS was incubated in the presence of 1% n-octylglucopyranoside for 7 min at room temperature. After centrifugation for 10 min at 12,000 g, the supernatant was further incubated for 20 min at 4°. Following centrifugation for 30 min at 30,000 rpm in a TLA 100.3 rotor, the supernatant was layered onto a sucrose gradient (10-30% w/w, on a cushion of 0.5 ml 60% sucrose) in PBS containing 1% octylglucoside. After centrifugation for 18 hr at 42,000 rpm in a SW55.1 rotor, fractions of 0.5 ml were collected from the bottom of the tube. The samples were analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and assayed for acetylesterase activity. For hemagglutination and hemagglutination-inhibition assays, as well as for treatment of cell surface receptors, the fractions were dialyzed against PBS/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:4). If the enzyme activity should be preserved for longer periods, the purified acetylesterase was stored at -20° in the presence of 5 mg bovine serum albumin per milliliter.

## Hemagglutination and hemagglutination-inhibition assays

Hemagglutination assays were performed as described previously (Herrler et al., 1985a). The hemagglutination titer indicates the reciprocal value of the maximum dilution that caused complete agglutination. Hemagglutination-inhibition assays were performed as described previously (Herrler *et al.*, 1985b).

## Assay for acetylesterase activity

The esterase activity of purified virions or proteins was determined by incubation with 200  $\mu$ g *p*-nitrophenyl acetate (PNPA) in 1 ml PBS at room temperature. The substrate was dissolved in 1/50 vol ethanol. Using a kinetics program, the release of acetate was monitored by determining the optical density at 405 nm for 10 min at intervals of 2 min. The background level of substrate incubated in the absence of esterase was subtracted from the samples. The amount of esterase which cleaves 1  $\mu$ mol of *p*-nitrophenyl acetate in 1 min at room temperature was defined as 1 unit of enzyme.

# Labeling with $[^{3}H]$ diisopropylfluorophosphate ( $[^{3}H]$ DFP)

Labeling of esterases with [<sup>3</sup>H]DFP was performed as described previously (Herrler *et al.*, 1988b). Purified virions or proteins in 50  $\mu$ l of PBS were incubated with 5  $\mu$ l of <sup>3</sup>H-labeled DFP (3.5 Ci/mmol) on ice. After 30 min the samples were prepared for electrophoresis.

## SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

Analysis of proteins by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed as described previously (Herrler *et al.*, 1988a).

## Incubation of erythrocytes with acetylesterase

Samples containing 200  $\mu$ l of a 10% suspension of chicken erythrocytes were incubated with 100  $\mu$ l of the gradient fraction containing purified HE protein (see above). Prior to use the acetylesterase was dialyzed to remove octylglucoside. After incubation for 2 hr at 37°, the red blood cells were washed twice with PBS and suspended in 4 ml of the same buffer. These erythrocytes and control cells, which had been incubated with PBS, were used to determine the HA titer of BCV, HEV, and influenza C virus.

## Solid-phase assay for virus binding

Different dilutions of rat serum (1:100, 1:500, and 1:1000 in PBS) in 2  $\mu$ l were applied to nitrocellulose and air-dried. Excess protein-binding sites were blocked with 10% nonfat dry milk in PBS overnight at 4°. The nitrocellulose strips were washed three times for 5 min with PBS/0.1% Tween and incubated for 1 hr at 37° with PBS, purified acetylesterase from influenza C virus (170 mU), or 0.1 *N* NaOH. All subsequent steps



FIG. 1. Esterase activity of purified BCV (triangles) and HEV (squares). Closed symbols: untreated virus; open symbols: virus pretreated with DFP. The release of acetate from paranitrophenylacetate was determined by measuring the optical density at 405 nm.

were done at 4°. Following three washes with PBS/ Tween, the nitrocellulose was incubated for 1 hr with BCV, HE protein from BCV (untreated or pretreated with DFP), or influenza A virus (WSN). After being washed with PBS/Tween, strips were incubated with rabbit antiserum directed against BCV (dilution 1:1000) or against influenza A virus (PR8, dilution 1:200). The nitrocellulose was again washed three times and then incubated for 1 hr with biotinylated anti-rabbit immunoglobulins from donkey. After being washed, the strips were incubated with streptavidin-biotinylated horseradish peroxidase complex (1 hr) and washed again. Bound BCV, HE protein, or WSN was detected by incubation of the nitrocellulose with PBS, 4-chloro-1-naphtol, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (500:100:1).

#### Electron microscopy

For negative staining, samples were applied to Pioloform-coated copper grids, stained with 2% uranylacetate, and examined in a Siemens-Elmiskop 101.

#### Materials

*n*-Octylglucopyranoside was purchased from Sigma (Deisenhofen, FRG), [<sup>3</sup>H]DFP and the immunological reagents from Amersham (Braunschweig, FRG).

#### RESULTS

#### Growth of BCV and HEV in MDCK I cells

MDCK I cells are a subline of Madin-Darby canine kidney cells which differs from other sublines in both functional and morphological characteristics (Richardson *et al.*, 1981). Strain Johannesburg/1/66 of influenza C virus was found to grow to high titers in this cell line, while another subline—MDCK II—was resistant to infection because of a lack of cell surface receptors (Herrler and Klenk, 1987; Szepanski *et al.*, 1989). Due to the similarity of the erythrocyte receptors for BCV

and influenza C virus, we tried to grow BCV in MDCK I cells. Strain L-9 of BCV, which had been grown previously in bovine cell cultures (Storz and Rott, 1981), was able to replicate in MDCK I cells without adaptation. Hemagglutination titers of 256 HAU/ml were determined in the supernatant 48 hr p.i. The same growth kinetics was observed with strain NT-9 of HEV, which had been grown previously in porcine cell cultures (Hess and Bachmann, 1978). These titers are equally high as or higher than those reported for BCV and HEV in other cell lines. Both MDCK-grown coronaviruses were found to have acetylesterase activity. Comparing virus suspensions with the same HA titer, the ability of both viruses to release acetate from p-nitrophenyl acetate was similar, HEV being somewhat more active than BCV (Fig. 1). As expected from previous studies with influenza C virus and BCV (Muchmore and Varki, 1987; Vlasak et al., 1988b), the esterase activity of the MDCK-grown coronaviruses was abolished after treatment with DFP. This compound inhibits serine esterases and proteases by covalently attaching to the active-site serine.

Up to the time of virus harvest no cytopathic changes were detectable in the monolayer of MDCK I cells infected with either BCV or HEV. Virions released into the medium were purified by centrifugation into a sucrose gradient and analyzed by SDS-polyacryl-amide gel electrophoresis. As shown in Fig. 2 for HEV, following Coomassie staining of the gel, the major bands visible are the known structural polypeptides: the nucleocapsid protein N, the membrane protein M, the surface protein S, and the hemagglutinin-esterase protein HE. The latter polypeptide is detected under nonreducing conditions as a disulfide-linked dimer, (HE)<sub>2</sub>. Several other coronaviruses require two rounds of gradient centrifugation for a satisfying degree of purification. Using the MDCK cell system a single gradient



Fig. 2. SDS-PAGE of purified HEV stained with Coomassie brilliant blue. Viral proteins were analyzed under nonreducing conditions (lane a) and under reducing conditions (lane b). The proteins have the following apparent molecular weights: M, 23 kDa; N, 50 kDa; HE: 65 kDa; S: 100 kDa; (HE)<sub>2</sub>: 140 kDa.



Fig. 3. Separation of the HEV glycoproteins by octylglucoside (OG) treatment and sucrose gradient centrifugation. The viral polypeptides N and M were sedimented by centrifugation after OG treatment and the supernatant, containing S and HE, was loaded on a sucrose gradient. After centrifugation, 10 fractions were collected from the bottom of the tube, analyzed by SDS–PAGE, and stained with Coomassie brilliant blue. On the left the polypeptide pattern of whole virions is shown.

centrifugation step is sufficient to obtain both BCV and HEV in purified form.

## Isolation and purification of the acetylesterase of BCV

The acetylesterase was isolated from coronaviruses by a modification of the procedure used for the purification of the influenza C glycoprotein (Herrler et al., 1988a). Purified HEV or BCV were treated with octylglucoside to solubilize the components of the lipid envelope. The viral polypeptides N and M were sedimented by centrifugation (not shown). The glycoproteins remaining in the supernatant (S and HE) were centrifuged into a sucrose gradient containing detergent. To determine the location of the viral proteins, the gradient fractions were analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. As shown in Fig. 3 for HEV, the peak of the HE protein was detected in fraction 6. IgG was found to have the same sedimentation behavior (not shown). The S-protein was well separated from HE with the majority being present in fraction 3. An identical separation was obtained with the glycoproteins of BCV (compare Figs. 4 and 5). The clear separation of both coronavirus glycoproteins was also evident when the individual fractions were analyzed for acetylesterase activity using p-nitrophenyl acetate as a substrate (Fig. 4). The peak fraction of the esterase activity of both BCV and HEV coincided with the HEprotein (fraction 6); no enzymatic release of acetate was detectable with fraction 3. The purification procedure preserved not only the acetylesterase activity of HE, but also the sensitivity of this enzyme to treatment with DFP, which binds covalently to serine hydrolases. As shown in Fig. 5, upon incubation with [<sup>3</sup>H]DFP, purified HE becomes radioactively labeled. Figure 5 also



Fig. 4. Esterase activity of sucrose gradient fractions after centrifugation of the glycoproteins HE and S from BCV (circles) and HEV (squares). Compare Fig. 3 for a polypeptide analysis of the gradient fractions.

illustrates why the initial treatment of virus with detergent was performed in two steps with intermittent centrifugation. If the first centrifugation was omitted, part of the M-protein remained in the supernatant which during gradient centrifugation cosedimented with HE (compare lanes e and f). In order to analyze the effect of purified HE-protein on cells, it was necessary to remove octylglucoside. The gradient fraction containing HE was dialyzed and analyzed by electron microscopy. Removal of the detergent resulted in rosette formation of the glycoprotein (shown in Fig. 6 for BCV-HE) as has been reported for other viral surface glycoproteins.

## Analysis of the purified HE-protein for receptorbinding and receptor-destroying activity

The purified HE protein was analyzed for its ability to function as a receptor-destroying enzyme. As shown in



Fig. 5. SDS–PAGE of purified HE-protein from BCV (lanes a–d) and HEV (lanes e–h) labeled with [<sup>a</sup>H]DFP. The samples were analyzed under nonreducing conditions (lanes a, c, e, and g) and under reducing conditions (lanes b, d, f, and h) and visualized with Coomassie brilliant blue (lanes a, b, e, and f) or by fluorography (lanes c, d, g, and h). During the isolation of the BCV proteins, M and N were removed by two centrifugation steps as described under Materials and Methods. The HEV proteins, which were subjected to only one centrifugation step, still contain some M protein, which is visible at the bottom of the gel.



Fig. 6. Electron micrograph of purified HE from BCV after removal of octylglucoside by dialysis. Magnification:  $\times 110,000$ . The inset at the lower right corner shows a rosette at a magnification of 450,000. The bar in the inset indicates 10 nm.

Table 1, incubation of erythrocytes with purified acetylesterase from either BCV or HEV rendered the cells resistant to agglutination by both coronaviruses as well as by influenza C virus. On the other hand, agglutination by influenza A virus was not affected. This result indicates that the specificity of the coronavirus esterase as a sialate 9-*O*-acetylesterase is preserved during purification of the HE protein.

Next we analyzed whether purified HE has receptorbinding activity. As shown in Table 2, the gradient fraction containing HE was unable to agglutinate chicken red blood cells. However, it was found to have a low hemagglutination-inhibition activity; i.e., purified HE was able to prevent intact virions (BCV or HEV) from agglutinating erythrocytes. This finding suggests that, following purification, HE is still able to attach to coronavirus receptors on red blood cells. However, this interaction appears not to be sufficient for agglutination of erythrocytes. The ability of purified HE to bind to Neu5,9Ac<sub>2</sub>-containing receptors was further analyzed

#### TABLE 1

INACTIVATION OF THE RECEPTORS FOR BCV, HEV, AND INFLUENZA C VIRUS (JHB/1/66) BY TREATMENT OF CHICKEN ERYTHROCYTES WITH PURIFIED ACETYLESTERASE (HE) FROM EITHER BCV OR HEV

Treatment of red blood cells	Hemagglutination titer (units/ml)			
	BCV	HEV	JHB/1/66	WSN
None	256	256	512	1024
HE-BCV	<2	<2	<2	1024
HE-HEV	<2	<2	<2	768

TABLE 2

HEMAGGLUTINATION (HA) AND HEMAGGLUTINATION-INHIBITION (HI) ACTIVITY OF ISOLATED HE PROTEIN FROM BCV AND HEV

HE protein from	HA (units/ml)	HI (units/ml)
BCV	<2	16
HEV	<2	32

by a solid-phase binding assay. Rat serum is known to be a potent hemagglutination-inhibitor of influenza C virus (Styk, 1955) as well as of BCV and HEV (Schultze et al., 1990). The inhibitory activity of rat serum is mainly due to  $\alpha$ 1-macroglobulin (Herrier *et al.*, 1985b; Kitame et al., 1985), which has been shown to contain a substantial amount of Neu5,9Ac<sub>2</sub> (Herrler et al., 1985c). BCV and purified HE from this virus were analyzed for their ability to bind to rat serum which had been spotted on a nitrocellulose filter. As shown in Fig. 7 (left lane), attachment of BCV to rat serum could be demonstrated. Purified HE gave a positive result in this assay only at the highest concentration of rat serum tested (compare sections designated BCV and HE). If HE was pretreated with DFP, however, to inactivate the esterase activity, binding of HE protein to rat serum was as efficient as binding of whole virus (compare sections designated BCV and HE-DFP). To find out whether attachment of BCV and HE-protein was mediated by Neu5,9Ac2, the serum proteins blotted on the nitrocellulose were preincubated with either acetylesterase or sodium hydroxide. Both treatments are known to release 9-O-acetyl residues from Neu5, 9Ac<sub>2</sub>. Preincubation of the serum proteins at alkaline pH completely abolished binding of both BCV and HE protein, while attachment of influenza A virus (strain WSN) could still be demonstrated (Fig. 7, middle lane). Pretreatment of serum proteins with acetylesterase from influenza C virus (HEF) drastically reduced binding of BCV, HE, or DFP-treated HE protein (Fig. 7, right lane). These results indicate that purified HE protein is able to recognize Neu5,9Ac<sub>2</sub> as a receptor determinant for attachment to glycoproteins. Binding of HE is, however, less efficient than binding of whole virions and can be increased by inactivation of the esterase.

#### DISCUSSION

Coronaviruses grow most readily in cells from their natural host, although adaptation to cells from other species is possible (Siddell *et al.*, 1982). In our attempts to set up a cell culture system for two hemagglutinating coronaviruses, BCV and HEV, it turned out that both viruses can be grown to high titers in MDCK I



**Fig. 7.** Binding of BCV, HE-protein from BCV, and influenza A virus (strain WSN) to rat serum proteins. After different dilutions (1:100, 1:500, and 1:1000, from top to bottom for each binding assay) of rat serum were blotted to nitrocellulose, the serum proteins were incubated with PBS (left lane), sodium hydroxide (middle lane), or acetyl-esterase from influenza C virus (HEF, right lane). The samples were then incubated with virus (BCV, WSN) or purified HE-protein, which had been either untreated (designated HE) or pretreated with DFP (designated HE-DFP). Binding was detected by an enzyme-linked immunoassay using rabbit antiserum directed against BCV or influenza virus.

cells without prior adaptation. This subline of Madin-Darby canine kidney cells has been shown recently to be sensitive to infection by strain Johannesburg/1/66 of influenza C virus (Szepanski et al., 1989). This virus initiates infection of cultured cells by attaching to Neu5,9Ac2-containing receptors, which are a major determinant of the cell tropism of influenza C virus (Herrler and Klenk, 1987). Neu5,9Ac<sub>2</sub> serves also as a receptor determinant for attachment of BCV and HEV to red blood cells (Vlasak et al., 1988a; Schultze et al., 1990). It remains to be shown whether the same type of receptors is also used for infection of cells. Another question to be answered is whether the restricted tropism of coronaviruses is determined by the cellular receptors or at a later stage of the infectious cycle. With MHV-A59 evidence has been presented indicating a crucial role of cellular receptors for the cell tropism.

This virus strain has been reported to use a 100 to 110-kDa protein as a receptor (Boyle et al., 1987). MDCK cells provide a promising system to analyze the initial stage of infection with other coronaviruses such as BCV and HEV, which appear to recognize different receptors on the cell surface. This cell line is also known for its polarized organization, which is reflected -among other characteristics-by a polarity of the virus infection. With vesicular stomatitis virus it has been shown that virus entry and release is restricted to the basolateral domain of the plasma membrane. Influenza A virus, on the other hand can infect MDCK cells. from both the apical and the basolateral side, whereas budding of the virus is restricted to the apical portion of the plasma membrane (Rodriguez-Boulan and Sabatini, 1978; Fuller et al., 1984). Coronaviruses are known to bud into intracellular vesicles (Tooze et al., 1984). It will be interesting to analyze how viruses with this type of maturation are released by polarized cells.

The amount and the purity of both BCV and HEV grown in MDCK I cells were sufficient to attempt the isolation of the viral glycoproteins. Using the protocol described it was possible to get a complete separation of the coronavirus proteins HE, S, and M. A similar method using Triton X-100 rather than octylglucoside had been used previously to purify the glycoproteins of HEV (Callebaut and Pensaert, 1980). As Triton X-100 cannot be removed by dialysis, these authors used butanol precipitation to obtain detergent-free glycoprotein. HE prepared in this way was unable to agglutinate red blood cells. At that time the esterase activity as well as the ability of this virus to recognize Neu5,9Ac<sub>2</sub> as a receptor determinant was unknown. Using octylglucoside as a detergent we obtained both HE and S in purified form. The isolated S-protein was also subject to a biochemical and functional analysis (manuscript in preparation). The sedimentation behavior of the isolated HE protein was similar to that of IgG. Considering the molecular weight of the monomeric glycoprotein (Parker et al., 1989), a dimeric structure is suggested for the purified HE protein. Dimers of HE are also detected following polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis under nonreducing conditions (see Fig. 2), indicating that the two monomers are held together by disulfide bonds. We cannot, however, exclude the possibility that the functional protein is embedded in the lipid envelope as a multimeric structure, e.g., a tetramer, which is dissociated into dimers upon detergent treatment and/or sucrose gradient centrifugation. For the G-protein of vesicular stomatitis virus it has been reported that gradient centrifugation results in the dissociation of the trimeric glycoprotein into monomers (Doms et al., 1987).

Of the two functions which have been assigned to

the HE-protein (hemagglutinin and esterase) the esterase could be detected easily in the purified protein. The acetylesterase activity was evident both in the color test with the synthetic substrate PNPA (Fig. 4) and in the ability of HE to inactivate the erythrocyte receptors for BCV, HEV, and influenza C virus (Table 1). The hemagglutinin function of HE could not be demonstrated with the purified glycoprotein. However, HE was still able to recognize Neu5,9Ac2-containing receptors as indicated by the binding-assay with rat serum proteins (Fig. 7) and by the low hemagglutination-inhibition activity of the purified glycoprotein (Table 2). This interaction was, however, not sufficient for agglutination of erythrocytes. This situation is reminiscent of influenza C virus, the purified glycoprotein (HEF) of which also has esterase and hemagglutination-inhibition but no hemagglutinating activity (Herrler et al., 1988a). The purified hemagglutinin of influenza A viruses, on the other hand, has been shown to form rosettes which are able to agglutinate red blood cells (Laver and Valentine, 1969). The failure of purified HE protein to cause hemagglutination is not due to a lack of rosette formation (Fig. 6). The receptor-destroying activity of HE counteracts the receptor-binding activity. This is evident from the result of the solid phase binding assay. Binding of BCV-HE was found to be more efficient after inactivation of the esterase by DFP (Fig. 7). The binding assay has not been applied to HEV-HE, but because of the similarity of both HE proteins in other tests (see Figs. 1–5 and Tables 1 and 2) it is likely that the results obtained with BCV-HE are also valid for HEV-HE. DFP-treated HE was still unable to agglutinate red blood cells. There was, however, some interaction between erythrocytes and the purified coronavirus glycoprotein. Erythrocytes incubated with DFPtreated HE did not pour, when the microtiter plate was placed in a semivertical position (not shown), which is in contrast to control cells. The lack of HA activity in the purified HE protein might be due to a conformational change during the purification procedure, which affects the receptor-binding activity more than the receptor-destroying activity. Another possibility is that the individual glycoproteins have only a low affinity for Neu5,9Ac<sub>2</sub>-containing receptors. In this case the multivalent attachment of HE-proteins present in the viral membrane may increase the binding activity and enable agglutination of erythrocytes. In any case, the purified acetylesterases of BCV and HEV are useful analytical tools to study the importance of Neu5,9Ac, in general, and specifically the cellular receptors for coronaviruses.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The technical assistance of Birgit Döll and Heidrun Will is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Drs. R. Rott and R. G. Hess for providing the coronaviruses. This work was supported by a grant from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to G.H. (He 1168/2-1). It is in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Dr.rer.physiol. for B.S., Fachbereich Humanmedizin, Philipps-Universität Marburg.

#### REFERENCES

- BOYLE, J. F., WEISMILLER, D. G., and HOLMES, K. V. (1987). Genetic resistance to mouse hepatitis virus correlates with absence of virus-binding activity on target tissues. J. Virol. **61**, 185–189.
- CALLEBAUT, P. E., and PENSAERT, M. B. (1980). Characterization and isolation of structural polypeptides in haemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* **48**, 193–204.
- DOMS, R. W., KELLER, D. S., HELENIUS, A., and BALCH, W. E. (1987). Role for adenosine triphosphate in regulating the assembly and transport of vesicular stromatitis virus G protein trimers. *J. Cell Biol.* **105**, 1957–1969.
- FULLER, S., VON BONSDORFF, C.-H., and SIMONS, K. (1984). Vesicular stomatitis virus infects and matures only through the basolateral surface of the polarized epithelial cell line, MDCK. *Cell* 38, 65–77.
- HERRLER, G., ROTT, R., and KLENK, H.-D. (1985a). Neuraminic acid is involved in the binding of influenza C virus to erythrocytes. *Virol*ogy 141, 144–147.
- HERRLER, G., GEYER, R., MÜLLER, H.-P., STIRM, S., and KLENK, H.-D. (1985b). Rat a<sub>1</sub>-macroglobulin inhibits hemagglutination by influenza C virus. *Virus Res.* 2, 183–192.
- HERRLER, G., ROTT, R., KLENK, H.-D., MÜLLER, H.-P., SHUKLA, A. K., and SCHAUER, R. (1985c). The receptor-destroying enzyme of influenza C virus is neuraminate-O-acetylesterase. *EMBO J.* 4, 1503– 1506.
- HERRLER, G., and KLENK, H.-D. (1987). The surface receptor is a major determinant of the cell tropism of influenza C virus. *Virology* **159**, 102–108.
- HERRLER, G., DÜRKOP, I., BECHT, H., and KLENK, H.-D. (1988a). The glycoprotein of influenza C virus is the hemagglutinin, esterase and fusion factor. *J. Gen. Virol.* **69**, 839–846.
- HERRLER, G., MULTHAUP, G., BEYREUTHER, K., and KLENK, H.-D. (1988b). Serine 71 of the glycoprotein HEF is located at the active site of the acetylesterase of influenza C virus. *Arch. Virol.* **102**, 269–274.
- HESS, R. G., and BACHMANN, P. A. (1978). Erbrechen und Kümmern der Ferkel: Vorkommen und Verbreitung in Süddeutschland. *Tieraerztl. Umsch.* **33**, 571–574.
- HIRST, G. K. (1950). The relationship of a new strain of virus to those of the mumps-NDV-influenza group. J. Exp. Med. 91, 177–185.
- KITAME, F., NAKAMURA, K., SAITO, A., SINOHARA, H., and HOMMA, M. (1985). Isolation and characterization of influenza C virus inhibitor in rat serum. *Virus Res.* **3**, 231–244.
- KLENK, E., FAILLARD, H., and LEMPFRID, H. (1955). Über die enzymatische Wirkung von Influenza-Virus. Z. Physiol. Chem. 301, 235– 246.
- LAVER, W. G., and VALENTINE, R. C. (1969). Morphology of the isolated hemagglutinin and neuraminidase subunits of influenza virus. *Virology* **38**, 105–119.
- LUYTJES, W., BREDENBEEK, P. J., NOTEN, A. F. H., HORZINEK, M. C., and SPAAN, W. (1988). Sequence of mouse hepatitis virus A59 mRNA2: Indications for RNA-recombination between coronaviruses and influenza C virus. *Virology* **166**, 415–422.
- MUCHMORE, E. A., and VARKI, A. (1987). Selective inactivation of influenza C esterase: A probe for detecting 9-O-acetylated sialic acids. *Science* **236**, 1293–1295.
- PARKER, M. D., COX, G. J., DEREGT, D., FITZPATRICK, D. R., and BABIUK, L. A. (1989). Cloning and in vitro expression of the gene for the E3

haemagglutinin glycoprotein of bovine coronavirus. J. Gen. Virol. 70, 155-167.

- PFLEIDERER, M., ROUTLEDGE, E., and SIDDELL, S. G. (1990). Functional analysis of the coronavirus MHV-JHM surface glycoproteins in Vaccinia virus recombinants. *In* "Coronaviruses and their Diseases" (D. Cavanagh and T.D.K. Brown, Eds.), Plenum, New York, in press.
- RICHARDSON, J. C. W., SCALERA, V., and SIMMONS, N. L. (1981). Identification of two strains of MDCK cells which resemble separate nephron tubule segments. *Biochem. Biophys. Acta* 673, 26–36.
- RODRIGUEZ-BOULAN, E., and SABATINI, D. D. (1978). Asymmetric budding of viruses in epithelial monolayers: A model system for study of epithelial polarity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **75**, 5071–5075.
- ROGERS, G. N., HERRLER, G., PAULSON, J. C., and KLENK, H.-D. (1986). Influenza C virus uses 9-O-acetyl-N-acetylneuraminic acid as a high affinity receptor determinant for attachment to cells. J. Biol. Chem. 261, 5947–5951.
- SCHAUER, R., REUTER, G., STOLL, S., POSADAS DEL RIO, F., HERRLER, G., and KLENK, H.-D. (1988). Isolation and characterization of sialate 9(4)-O-acetylesterase from influenza C virus. *Biol. Chem. Hoppe-Seyler* 369, 1121–1130.
- SCHULTZE, B., GROSS, H.-J., BROSSMER, R., KLENK, H.-D., and HERRLER, G. (1990). Hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus attaches to *N*-acetyl-9-*O*-acetylneuraminic acid-containing receptors on erythrocytes: Comparison with bovine coronavirus and influenze C virus. *Virus Res.* **16**, 185–194.
- SHIEH, C.-K., LEE, H.-J., YOKOMORI, K., LA MONICA, N., MAKINO, S., and LAI, M. N. C. (1989). Identification of a new transcriptional initiation site and the corresponding functional gene 2b in the murine coronavirus RNA genome. *J. Virol.* **63**, 3729–3736.
- SIDDELL, S. G., WEGE, H., and TER MEULEN, V. (1982). The structure and replication of coronaviruses. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 99, 131–161.
- SPAAN, W., CAVANAGH, D., and HORZINEK, M. C. (1988). Coronavi-

ruses: Structure and genome expression. J. Gen. Virol. 69, 2939–2952.

- STORZ, J., and ROTT, R. (1981). Reactivity of antibodies in human serum with antigens of an enteropathogenic bovine coronavirus. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol.* 169, 169–178.
- STYK, B. (1955). Non-specific inhibitors in normal rat serum for the influenza C virus. *Folia Biol.* 1, 207–212.
- SUGIYAMA, K., and AMANO, Y. (1980). Hemagglutination and structural polypeptides of a new coronavirus associated with diarrhea in infant mice. *Arch. Virol.* **66**, 95–105.
- SZEPANSKI, S., KLENK, H.-D., and HERRLER, G. (1989). Analysis of a mutant of influenza C virus with a change in the receptor specificity. *In* "Cell Biology of Virus Entry, Replication, and Pathogenesis (R.W. Compans, A. Helenius, and M.B.A. Oldstone, Eds.), pp. 125–134. A. R. Liss, Inc., New York.
- Tooze, J., Tooze, S., and WARREN, G. (1984). Replication of coronavirus MHV-A59 in sac- cells: Determination of the first site of budding of progeny virions. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 33, 281–293.
- VLASAK, R., KRYSTAL, M., NACHT, M., and PALESE, P. (1987). The influenza C virus glycoprotein (HE) exhibits receptor-binding (hemagglutinin) and receptor-destroying (esterase) activities. *Virology* 160, 419–425.
- VLASAK, R., LUYTJES, W., SPAAN, W., and PALESE, P. (1988a). Human and bovine coronaviruses recognize sialic acid containing receptors similar to those of influenza C viruses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 85, 4526–4529.
- VLASAK, R., LUYTJES, W., LEIDER, J., SPAAN, W., and PALESE, P. (1988b). The E3 protein of bovine coronavirus is a receptor-destroying enzyme with acetylesterase activity. J. Virol. 62, 4686-4690.
- YOKOMORI, K., LA MONICA, N., MAKINO, S., SHIEH, C.-K., and LAI, M. M. C. (1989). Biosynthesis, structure, and biological activities of envelope protein gp 65 of murine coronavirus. *Virology* 137, 683–691.