

1 **Passive Immunotherapy With Dromedary Immune Serum In An Experimental Animal Model For MERS**
2 **Coronavirus Infection.**

3 Jincun Zhao¹, Ranawaka A.P.M. Perera², Ghazi Kayali³, David Meyerholz⁴, Stanley Perlman^{1*}, Malik
4 Peiris^{2*}

5 ¹Departments of ¹Microbiology and ⁴Pathology, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA

6 ² The School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region,
7 China

8 ³Division of Virology, Department of Infectious Diseases, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital,
9 Memphis, Tennessee, USA

10 *Joint corresponding authors.

11 Dr. Stanley Perlman

12 Department of Microbiology

13 University of Iowa

14 Iowa City, IA 52242

15 Phone: 319-335-8549

16 Electronic address: stanley-perlman@uiowa.edu

17 Dr. Malik Peiris

18 State Key Laboratory of Emerging Infectious Disease

19 Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine

20 The University of Hong Kong

21 Hong Kong SAR, China

22 Electronic address: malik@hku.hk.

23 Running title: Treatment of MERS with MERS convalescent camel sera

24 **Abstract:** The Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) is a highly lethal pulmonary infection. Sera
25 from MERS convalescent patients may provide some benefit but is not readily available. In contrast,
26 nearly all camels in the Middle East were previously infected with MERS-CoV. Here, we show that sera
27 obtained from MERS immune camels augment the kinetics of MERS-CoV clearance, and reduce the
28 severity of pathological changes in infected lungs, with efficacy proportional to MERS-CoV neutralizing
29 serum antibody titers.

30

31 **Importance.**

32 The Middle East Respiratory Syndrome, caused by a coronavirus, is highly lethal with a case-fatality rate
33 of 35-40%. No specific therapy is available and care is generally supportive. One promising approach is
34 passive administration of sera from MERS convalescent humans or other animals to exposed or infected
35 patients. The vast majority if not all camels in the Middle East were previously infected with MERS-CoV
36 and some contain high titers of antibody to the virus. Here, we show that this antibody is protective if
37 delivered either prophylactically or therapeutically to mice infected with MERS-CoV, indicating that this
38 may be a useful intervention in infected patients.

39

40

41 **Introduction:**

42 A decade after the emergence of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS), a novel beta
43 coronavirus was isolated from a patient with a fatal viral pneumonia in Saudi Arabia in 2012 (1). The
44 disease is now designated as the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) and the causative virus is
45 MERS coronavirus (MERS-CoV). So far (as of 7 February 2015), 971 confirmed cases, 356 of them fatal,
46 have been reported to the World Health Organization
47 (http://www.who.int/csr/disease/coronavirus_infections/mers-5-february-2015.pdf?ua=1). Primary
48 human cases have been reported from a number of countries in the Arabian peninsula and the Middle
49 Eastern region but travel-associated cases or limited human-to-human transmission from such cases
50 have been reported from other countries in Europe, Africa and Asia. While clusters of human cases with
51 limited human-to-human transmission within health care facilities or families have been reported (2),
52 index cases in the transmission-chains remain of presumed zoonotic origin.
53 MERS-CoV-like viruses are widespread in dromedary camels with sero-epidemiological studies indicating
54 sero-prevalence of >90% in adult animals (3). Viruses isolated from dromedaries are genetically and
55 phenotypically closely related to virus isolated from humans and retain the capacity to infect *ex vivo*
56 cultures of the human airways (4). Other domestic livestock in affected areas, including cattle, goats,
57 sheep and equids, have no evidence of MERS-CoV infection. There is no convincing evidence of MERS-
58 CoV in bats although a genetically related virus, albeit with a divergent spike protein, has been reported
59 in *Neoromicia capensis* bats from Africa (5).
60 Infection in dromedaries has been reported to precede human infection in a few instances (6). Given the
61 ubiquitous nature of infection in dromedaries, human exposure to MERS-CoV must be common;
62 however, human disease remains rare (7). Furthermore, MERS-CoV remains endemic in dromedaries in
63 East and North Africa (3) although locally acquired human cases have not been reported in countries in
64 these regions. It is unclear whether this represents a lack of recognition or a true absence of disease.

65 Thus, while dromedaries are recognized as a natural host of MERS-CoV, the modes of transmission to
66 humans remain unclear.

67 The apparent case-fatality of MERS appears to be high (approx. 37%) with age and underlying disease
68 conditions including diabetes, respiratory or cardiovascular diseases or immune-compromised status
69 being risk factors (8). When human case clusters have been intensively investigated, it is apparent that
70 milder cases are not uncommon and that such cases are probably undiagnosed in the general
71 population (2). Thus the overall severity of MERS may be milder than reflected from hitherto diagnosed
72 cases. The repeated emergence of clusters of human-to-human MERS transmission is reminiscent of the
73 emergence of SARS in late 2002, when clusters of human cases from the animal reservoir emerged and
74 then went extinct, until the virus finally adapted to acquire capacity for sustained human-to-human
75 transmission. Virus then spread globally to infect greater than 8000 persons in >28 countries or
76 territories (reviewed in (9)). Within the past 200 years, other animal coronaviruses have adapted to
77 humans and have spread globally – viz human coronaviruses 229E and OC43 (10). Thus, zoonotic MERS-
78 CoV remains a concern for global public health.

79 So far, no clinically effective therapeutics have been identified. Some drugs, including some licensed for
80 human use in other clinical indications, have activity *in vitro* but it is unclear whether their
81 pharmacology and toxicity would allow therapeutic efficacy in humans (11, 12). Passive immunotherapy
82 using convalescent human plasma is being considered for a number of emerging infectious diseases (e.g.
83 MERS, influenza, Ebola) (11, 13). It was used for treatment of SARS with potentially promising results,
84 although in the absence of controlled clinical trials, the results remain inconclusive (13, 14). The limited
85 number of MERS-survived patients who are fit to donate plasma together with low convalescent
86 antibody titers have constrained its use in MERS. On the other hand, dromedaries in the Middle East and
87 in parts of Africa have high sero-prevalence and many of them have very high neutralizing antibody
88 titers, presumably maintained through repeated re-infections. They have unusual single chain

89 immunoglobulins that may have theoretical advantages for passive immunotherapy (15). In this study,
90 we have used a mouse model sensitized to MERS-CoV through transduction of the human DPP4 receptor
91 (16) to investigate the prophylactic and therapeutic efficacy of dromedary serum containing high titer
92 neutralizing antibodies to MERS-CoV in reducing viral load, weight loss and lung pathology.

93 **Assay for neutralizing antibody in dromedary camels.** Serum samples were collected from dromedaries
94 in Egypt (collected 2013) and Australia (collected 2014) as previously described (17, 18). Samples from
95 Egypt contained antibody to MERS-CoV while sera from Australian camels served as negative controls
96 since all dromedary camels from Australia tested thus far are negative for MERS-CoV-specific antibody.
97 Multiple aliquots were prepared to avoid repeated freezing and thawing of the sera. Antibody titers to
98 MERS-CoV were determined using a well characterized and validated lentivirus-based pseudoparticle
99 neutralization test as previously described (18). In this assay, pseudoparticles expressing the MERS-CoV
100 spike protein of the EMC/2012 virus strain are exposed to antibodies under investigation and
101 neutralizing titers are calculated. A panel of sera was selected to represent a range of MERS-CoV
102 antibody titers found in dromedaries sampled in the field (Table 1). Sera from Egypt (Nos 1-6) had
103 reciprocal antibody titers of 1:160-1:1280 while sera from Australia (Nos 21, 23) were, as expected, anti-
104 MERS-CoV antibody negative.

105 **Protective efficacy in MERS-CoV-infected mice.** Mice are resistant to infection with MERS-CoV but can
106 be rendered susceptible if the human dipeptidyl peptidase receptor (hDPP4) is supplied exogenously.
107 We showed previously that transduction with an adenovirus vector expressing hDPP4 sensitizes mice to
108 subsequent challenge with the MERS-CoV strain EMC/2012 (16). Virus is cleared within 7-10 days, with
109 inflammatory cell infiltration apparent on histological examination of the lungs. Six to ten week old
110 immunocompetent mice lose minimal amounts of weight and show no signs of clinical disease.
111 However, mice lacking expression of the type 1 interferon receptor (IFNAR^{-/-} mice) are more susceptible
112 to the infection, showing weight loss and more extensive inflammatory cell infiltration and edema on

113 pathological examination of lung tissue. Initially, we assessed whether antibody from MERS immune
114 camels was protective if 200 μ L were delivered to immunocompetent BALB/c mice 1 day prior to
115 infection with 1×10^5 PFU MERS-CoV (all protocols were approved by the University of Iowa Animal Care
116 and Utilization Committee). Virus titers were measured at day 3 post infection (p.i.), since we showed
117 previously that this is a useful time for assessing efficacy of anti-MERS-CoV prophylactic treatment (16).
118 As shown in Figure 1A, virus was partially or completely cleared by day 3 p.i. from infected mice, with
119 the protective ability of each sera proportional to the neutralizing titer measured *in vitro*. Further testing
120 showed that sera from camel 2 could be diluted four fold without loss of ability to effect virus clearance
121 by day 3 p.i. (Figure 1B).

122 If camel sera were to be useful in patients, it would need to be delivered therapeutically. Since Ad5-
123 hDPP4-transduced mice lacking expression of the IFN α/β receptor (IFNAR^{-/-}) are more susceptible to
124 MERS-CoV than immunocompetent mice, we treated IFNAR^{-/-} mice with sera from camel 2 at 24 hours
125 after infection. Under these conditions, treatment with undiluted sera accelerated the kinetics of virus
126 clearance, with MERS-CoV nearly undetectable by five days after challenge (Figure 1C). Unlike BALB/c
127 mice, IFNAR^{-/-} mice exhibit weight loss after MERS-CoV infection. Treated, as opposed to untreated
128 MERS-CoV-infected mice exhibited less weight loss (Figure 1D). Consistent with these results, decreased
129 amounts of perivascular and peribronchial inflammatory cell infiltration, hemorrhage and edema were
130 apparent on histological examination of infected lungs when treated and untreated infected IFNAR^{-/-}
131 mice were compared (Figure 2).

132 **Implications for MERS-CoV-infected patients.** In this study, we show that prophylactic or therapeutic
133 treatment with high titer MERS immune camel sera is able to diminish weight loss and lung histological
134 changes and effect virus clearance in mice infected with MERS-CoV EMC/2012. At this point, it is not
135 possible to determine the effects of any treatment on severe clinical disease. Nonhuman primates and
136 rabbits can be infected with MERS-CoV but none, except perhaps marmosets, develop severe clinical

137 disease (19, 20). Marmosets variably develop severe disease and are not readily available. Transgenic
138 mice expressing hDPP4 may provide an alternative approach, but these mice either remain
139 asymptomatic (unpublished results) or develop clinical disease, the significance of which is confounded
140 by the concomitant development of severe encephalitis ((21) and our unpublished data). Our
141 experiments were performed with a single strain of MERS-CoV, but it is likely that other strains will be
142 neutralized as well. While evidence for evolution of human MERS-CoV has been reported, virtually no
143 mutations are detected in the receptor binding domain, the most important site of neutralization. The
144 most consistent change, at amino acid 1020 of the spike protein (22), is in the putative fusion domain,
145 but even this change may reflect tissue culture adaptation. Comparison of cross-neutralizing antibody
146 titers of MERS-CoV from Saudi Arabia belonging phylogenetically to clade B and genetically diverse
147 viruses from Egypt demonstrated no reduction in neutralizing potency of dromedary camel sera against
148 the latter (17).

149 While changes in clinical disease and blood laboratory parameters have been well documented in MERS
150 in patients, little is known about changes in virus load and tissue damage as disease progresses because
151 human specimens are not available. However, based on information accrued from the 2002-2003
152 epidemic of the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome, it is likely that a favorable outcome will occur when
153 virus clearance occurs rapidly, providing time for the development of a protective antibody and T cell
154 responses which then definitively clear the virus. Camel sera clearly reduce virus titers, when delivered
155 either prophylactically or therapeutically. Use of camel sera has several advantages, including ready
156 availability in the Arabian peninsula, the site of all initial infection thus far, and the presence of high
157 titers of MER-CoV-specific antibodies. High anti-virus antibody titers are believed to reflect repeated
158 infection of camels, perhaps during the birthing season (23). Based on a study of experimentally infected
159 camels (24), MERS-CoV causes a moderate rhinitis with high virus loads detected in the nasal secretions,
160 which would facilitate repeated infections. Camel antibodies have certain other advantages including a

161 long CDR3 region, which enhances the ability to recognize structures not detected by conventional
162 antibodies, increased stability compared to conventional antibodies and relative ease of high level
163 production (15). Heterologous (e.g. equine) antibodies have been successfully used in the past for
164 passive immunotherapy or immunoprophylaxis of diseases such as rabies, tetanus and snake bites but
165 such therapy carries a potential, though low risk from hypersensitivity to parenteral injection of protein
166 from a different species. In the longer term, recombinant camelid antibodies can be expressed and
167 multimerized, which results in enhanced avidity and humanized to reduce the risk of hypersensitivity
168 (25).

169 In summary, our results provide proof of concept that sera from MERS-CoV immune dromedary camels
170 are potentially useful in treatment of patients with MERS. Efficacy is most likely if delivered early in the
171 course of illness (11). Furthermore, camels immunized with MERS-CoV can serve as the initial source for
172 developing recombinant, humanized single stranded antibodies, as an additional tool for prophylactic or
173 therapeutic treatment of exposed or infected patients, respectively.

174

175 **Acknowledgements:** This work was funded in part by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious
176 Diseases (grants PO1 AI060699 and RO1 AI0901322 (SP) and Contract HHSN272201400006C (JSMP,GK)).

177

178 **Figure Legends**

179 Figure 1. Enhanced kinetics of MERS-CoV clearance after treatment with convalescent camel sera. Mice
180 were sensitized to infection with MERS-CoV by transduction with Ad5-hDPP4. Five days later, mice were
181 then challenged intranasally with 1×10^5 PFU of MERS-CoV EMC/2012, kindly provided by Dr. Bart
182 Haagmans, Erasmus Medical Center, The Netherlands. All work with infectious MERS-CoV was
183 performed in a Biosafety Level 3 (BSL3) laboratory. A. 200 μ l of camel serum was transferred
184 intraperitoneally into Ad5-hDPP4 transduced 6-8 wk old female BALB/c mice 24 hours before MERS-CoV

185 infection. Virus titers in the lungs were measured at day 3 post infection. Titers are expressed as PFU/g
186 tissue. n= 3 mice/group/time point. *P values of <0.05 as compared to the No Treatment group. B. 200
187 μ l of camel serum #2 diluted in PBS was transferred into Ad5-hDPP4 transduced old BALB/c mice as in
188 (A). Virus titers were measured at day 3 p.i. n= 3 mice/group/time point. C. 200 μ l of camel sera #2
189 diluted in PBS were transferred intraperitoneally into 6-10 week Ad5-hDPP4 transduced IFNAR^{-/-} mice
190 one day after intranasal infection with 1×10^5 PFU MERS-CoV. Titers were measured at days 3 and 5 p.i.
191 n = 3 mice/group/time point. D. 200 μ l of camel sera #2 were transferred intraperitoneally into Ad5-
192 hDPP4 transduced IFNAR^{-/-} mice one day after MERS-CoV infection. Mice were monitored daily for
193 mortality (there was none) and weight loss. n= 5 mice per group.

194 Figure 2: Decreased severity of histological changes in MERS-CoV infected mice after therapeutic
195 treatment with convalescent camel sera. IFNAR^{-/-} mice were transduced with Ad5-hDPP4, not treated or
196 treated with 200 μ l camel sera #2 one day before infection with MERS-CoV EMC/2012 (1×10^5 PFU). At 7
197 days post infection, mice were anesthetized and perfused via the right ventricle with PBS followed by
198 zinc formalin. Lungs were removed, fixed in zinc formalin, and paraffin embedded. Sections were stained
199 with hematoxylin and eosin for histological analysis. (Left): Lungs from uninfected mice. (Middle and
200 right): Lungs from MERS-CoV-infected mice at 7 days post challenge and either untreated mice (middle).
201 or treated with 200 μ l of camel sera #2 intraperitoneally (right). Multifocal peribronchial and
202 perivascular infiltration, hemorrhage and edema (*) are observed in untreated samples (middle), but
203 only minimal cellular infiltration is detected in mice that received MERS-CoV-specific camel antibody
204 (right). Original magnification was 10x (upper panels) or 40x (lower panels).

205
206

207 **Table: MERS-CoV neutralizing antibody titers of dromedary camel sera assayed in a MERS-CoV spike**
208 **pseudoparticle microneutralization test**

Camel sera ID	Geographic origin	Reciprocal neutralizing antibody titer
1	Egypt	1:640
2	Egypt	1:1280
3	Egypt	1:640
4	Egypt	1:640
5	Egypt	1:160
6	Egypt	1:320
21	Australia	<1:10
23	Australia	<1:10

209

210

211

REFERENCES

1. **Zaki, AM, van Boheemen, S, Bestebroer, TM, Osterhaus, AD, and Fouchier, RA.** 2012. Isolation of a novel coronavirus from a man with pneumonia in Saudi Arabia. *New Engl J Med* **367**:1814-1820.
2. **Drosten, C, Meyer, B, Muller, MA, Corman, VM, Al-Masri, M, Hossain, R, Madani, H, Sieberg, A, Bosch, BJ, Lattwein, E, Alhakeem, RF, Assiri, AM, Hajomar, W, Albarrak, AM, Al-Tawfiq, JA, Zumla, AI, and Memish, ZA.** 2014. Transmission of MERS-coronavirus in household contacts. *New Engl J Med* **371**:828-835.
3. **Reusken, CB, Messadi, L, Feyisa, A, Ullaramu, H, Godeke, GJ, Danmarwa, A, Dawo, F, Jemli, M, Melaku, S, Shamaki, D, Woma, Y, Wungak, Y, Gebremedhin, EZ, Zutt, I, Bosch, BJ, Haagmans, BL, and Koopmans, MP.** 2014. Geographic distribution of MERS coronavirus among dromedary camels, Africa. *Emerg Inf Dis* **20**:1370-1374.
4. **Chan, RW, Hemida, MG, Kayali, G, Chu, DK, Poon, LL, Alnaeem, A, Ali, MA, Tao, KP, Ng, HY, Chan, MC, Guan, Y, Nicholls, JM, and Peiris, JS.** 2014. Tropism and replication of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus from dromedary camels in the human respiratory tract: an in-vitro and ex-vivo study. *Lancet Respir Med* **2**:813-822.
5. **Corman, VM, Ithete, NL, Richards, LR, Schoeman, MC, Preiser, W, Drosten, C, and Drexler, JF.** 2014. Rooting the phylogenetic tree of middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus by characterization of a conspecific virus from an African bat. *J Virol* **88**:11297-11303.
6. **Azhar, EI, El-Kafrawy, SA, Farraj, SA, Hassan, AM, Al-Saeed, MS, Hashem, AM, and Madani, TA.** 2014. Evidence for camel-to-human transmission of MERS coronavirus. *New Engl J Med* **370**:2499-2505.
7. **Hemida, MG, Al-Naeem, A, Perera, RA, Chin, A, Poon, LM, and Peiris, M.** 2015. Lack of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus transmission from infected camels. *Emerg Inf Dis* 015 Apr [12 Feb 2015 cited]. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2104.141949>
8. **Hui, DS, Memish, ZA, and Zumla, A.** 2014. Severe acute respiratory syndrome vs. the Middle East respiratory syndrome. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* **20**:233-241.
9. **Peiris, JS, Guan, Y, and Yuen, KY.** 2004. Severe acute respiratory syndrome. *Nat Med* **10**:S88-97.
10. **Drexler, JF, Corman, VM, and Drosten, C.** 2014. Ecology, evolution and classification of bat coronaviruses in the aftermath of SARS. *Antiviral Res* **101**:45-56.
11. **Hayden, FG, Farrar, J, and Peiris, JS.** 2014. Towards improving clinical management of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. *Lancet Inf Dis* **14**:544-546.
12. **Omrani, AS, Saad, MM, Baig, K, Bahloul, A, Abdul-Matin, M, Alaidaroos, AY, Almakhlaifi, GA, Albarrak, MM, Memish, ZA, and Albarrak, AM.** 2014. Ribavirin and interferon alfa-2a for severe Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* **14**:14:1090-1095.
13. **Mair-Jenkins, J, Saavedra-Campos, M, Baillie, JK, Cleary, P, Khaw, FM, Lim, WS, Makki, S, Rooney, KD, and Beck, CR.** 2015. The Effectiveness of Convalescent Plasma and Hyperimmune Immunoglobulin for the Treatment of Severe Acute Respiratory Infections of Viral Etiology: A Systematic Review and Exploratory Meta-analysis. *J Inf Dis* **211**:80-90.
14. **Stockman, LJ, Bellamy, R, and Garner, P.** 2006. SARS: Systematic review of treatment effects. *PLoS Med* **3**:e343.
15. **Tillib, SV, Ivanova, TI, Vasilev, LA, Rutovskaya, MV, Saakyan, SA, Gribova, IY, Tutykhina, IL, Sedova, ES, Lysenko, AA, Shmarov, MM, Logunov, DY, Naroditsky, BS, and Gintsburg, AL.** 2013. Formatted single-domain antibodies can protect mice against infection with influenza virus (H5N2). *Antiviral Res* **97**:245-254.

- 259 16. Zhao, J, Li, K, Wohlford-Lenane, C, Agnihothram, SS, Fett, C, Zhao, J, Gale, MJ, Jr., Baric, RS,
260 Enjuanes, L, Gallagher, T, McCray, PB, Jr., and Perlman, S. 2014. Rapid generation of a mouse
261 model for Middle East respiratory syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci (USA)* **111**:4970-4975.
- 262 17. Hemida, MG, Perera, RA, Al Jassim, RA, Kayali, G, Siu, LY, Wang, P, Chu, KW, Perlman, S, Ali,
263 MA, Alnaeem, A, Guan, Y, Poon, LL, Saif, L, and Peiris, M. 2014. Seroepidemiology of Middle
264 East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus in Saudi Arabia (1993) and Australia (2014) and
265 characterisation of assay specificity. *Euro Surveill* **19** pii:20828.
- 266 18. Perera, RA, Wang, P, Gomaa, MR, El-Shesheny, R, Kandeil, A, Bagato, O, Siu, LY, Shehata, MM,
267 Kayed, AS, Moatasim, Y, Li, M, Poon, LL, Guan, Y, Webby, RJ, Ali, MA, Peiris, JS, and Kayali, G.
268 2013. Seroepidemiology for MERS coronavirus using microneutralisation and pseudoparticle
269 virus neutralisation assays reveal a high prevalence of antibody in dromedary camels in Egypt,
270 June 2013. *Euro Surveill* **18**:pii=20574.
- 271 19. de Wit, E, Rasmussen, AL, Falzarano, D, Bushmaker, T, Feldmann, F, Brining, DL, Fischer, ER,
272 Martellaro, C, Okumura, A, Chang, J, Scott, D, Benecke, AG, Katze, MG, Feldmann, H, and
273 Munster, VJ. 2013. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) causes transient
274 lower respiratory tract infection in rhesus macaques. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **110**:16598-16603.
- 275 20. Falzarano, D, de Wit, E, Feldmann, F, Rasmussen, AL, Okumura, A, Peng, X, Thomas, MJ, van
276 Doremalen, N, Haddock, E, Nagy, L, LaCasse, R, Liu, T, Zhu, J, McLellan, JS, Scott, DP, Katze,
277 MG, Feldmann, H, and Munster, VJ. 2014. Infection with MERS-CoV causes lethal pneumonia in
278 the common marmoset. *PLoS Pathog* **10**:e1004250.
- 279 21. Agrawal, AS, Garron, T, Tao, X, Peng, BH, Wakamiya, M, Chan, TS, Couch, RB, and Tseng, CT.
280 2015. Generation of transgenic mouse model of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome-coronavirus
281 infection and disease. *J. Virol.* Jan 14 pii:JVI.03427-14 (Epub ahead of print)
- 282 22. Cotten, M, Watson, SJ, Zumla, AI, Makhdoom, HQ, Palser, AL, Ong, SH, Al Rabeeah, AA,
283 Alhakeem, RF, Assiri, A, Al-Tawfiq, JA, Albarrak, A, Barry, M, Shibl, A, Alrabiah, FA, Hajjar, S,
284 Balkhy, HH, Flemban, H, Rambaut, A, Kellam, P, and Memish, ZA. 2014. Spread, circulation, and
285 evolution of the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *mBio* **5**.pii: e01062-13.
286 doi10.1128
- 287 23. Hemida, MG, Chu, DK, Poon, LL, Perera, RA, Alhammadi, MA, Ng, HY, Siu, LY, Guan, Y,
288 Alnaeem, A, and Peiris, M. 2014. MERS coronavirus in dromedary camel herd, Saudi Arabia.
289 *Emerg Inf Dis* **20**:1231-1234.
- 290 24. Adney, DR, van Doremalen, N, Brown, VR, Bushmaker, T, Scott, D, de Wit, E, Bowen, RA, and
291 Munster, VJ. 2014. Replication and shedding of MERS-CoV in upper respiratory tract of
292 inoculated dromedary camels. *Emerg Inf Dis* **20**:1999-2005.
- 293 25. Vanlandschoot, P, Stortelers, C, Beirnaert, E, Ibanez, LI, Schepens, B, Depla, E, and Saelens, X.
294 2011. Nanobodies(R): new ammunition to battle viruses. *Antiviral Res* **92**:389-407.

295

296



