1	Porcine deltacoronavirus engages the transmissible gastroenteritis virus
2	functional receptor porcine aminopeptidase N for infectious cellular entry
3	
4	Bin Wang*, Yan Liu*, Chun-Miao Ji*, Yong-Le Yang, Qi-Zhang Liang, Pengwei Zhao,
5	Ling-Dong Xu, Xi-Mei Lei, Wen-Ting Luo, Pan Qin, Jiyong Zhou, Yao-Wei Huang
6	
7	Institute of Preventive Veterinary Medicine and Key Laboratory of Animal Virology of
8	Ministry of Agriculture, College of Animal Sciences, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou,
9	Zhejiang 310058, China.
10	
11	*These authors contributed equally to this work.
12	Correspondence: Yao-Wei Huang, <u>yhuang@zju.edu.cn</u> Tel: 86-571-88982051
13	
14	Running title: Porcine APN as PDCoV entry receptor
15	
16	Word counts: manuscript text (4,152 words); abstract (212 words); importance (150 words).
17	Figure number: 6
18	

19

20 Abstract

21 Identification of cellular receptors used by coronavirus (CoV) entry into the host cells is 22 critical to understand pathogenesis and to develop intervention strategies. The fourth CoV 23 genus, Deltacoronavirus, evolutionally related to the Gammacoronavirus, has just been 24 defined recently. In the current study, we demonstrate that porcine aminopeptidase N (pAPN) 25 acts as a cross-genus CoV functional receptor for both enteropathogenic porcine DeltaCoV 26 (PDCoV) and AlphaCoV (transmissible gastroenteritis virus, TGEV) based upon three lines 27 of evidences. First, the soluble S1 protein of PDCoV efficiently bound to surface of target 28 porcine cell lines known to express pAPN as TGEV-S1 did, which could be blocked by 29 soluble pAPN pre-treatment. Second, either PDCoV-S1 or TGEV-S1 physically recognized 30 and interacted with pAPN by co-immunoprecipitation in pAPN-cDNA-transfected cells and 31 by dot blot hybridization assay. Finally, exogenous expression of pAPN in refractory cells 32 conferred susceptibility to PDCoV-S1 binding and for PDCoV entry and productive 33 infection. PDCoV-S1 appeared to have a lower pAPN-binding affinity and likely consequent 34 lower infection efficiency in pAPN-expressing refractory cells as compared to TGEV-S1, 35 suggesting that there may be difference in virus-binding regions in pAPN between these two 36 viruses. This study paves the way for dissecting the molecular mechanisms of PDCoV-host 37 interactions and pathogenesis as well as facilitates future vaccine development and 38 intervention strategies against PDCoV infection.

39

40 Keywords: Cellular receptor; Coronavirus; Aminopeptidase N (APN); Porcine
41 deltacoronavirus (PDCoV); Entry

42

43 Importance

44 The emergence of new human and animal coronaviruses is believed to have occurred 45 through interspecies transmission that is mainly mediated by species-specific receptor of the 46 host. Among the four genera of the Coronavirinae, a couple functional receptors for the 47 representative members in the genera Alphacoronavirus and Betacoronavirus have been 48 identified, whereas receptors for Gammacoronavirus and Deltacoronavirus, which are 49 believed to originate from birds, are still unknown. Porcine coronaviruses including the 50 newly discovered porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) associated with diarrhea in newborn 51 piglets have posed a serious threat to the pork industry in Asia and North America. Here we 52 report that PDCoV employs alphacoronavirus TGEV functional receptor porcine 53 aminopeptidase N (pAPN) for cellular entry, demonstrating the usage of pAPN as a cross-54 genus CoV functional receptor. The identification of PDCoV receptor provides another 55 example of the expanded host range of CoV, and paves the way for further investigation of 56 PDCoV-host interaction and pathogenesis.

57

Downloaded from http://jvi.asm.org/ on April 4, 2018 by KENT STATE UNIV LIBRARY

58 INTRODUCTION

59 Coronaviruses (CoVs) are single-stranded, positive-sense RNA viruses with the largest genome that cause mild or lethal respiratory and gastrointestinal diseases in humans and 60 61 animals (1). Currently, the subfamily Coronavirinae of the family Coronaviridae is classified 62 genera, Alphacoronavirus, Betacoronavirus, Gammacoronavirus, into four and Deltacoronavirus (1). The fourth genus Deltacoronavirus has just been defined recently (2). 63 64 Since most of the GammaCoVs and DeltaCoVs are identified in avian species, birds are the 65 proposed original host for these two genera, whereas bats are considered as the original host for the genera Alphacoronavirus and Betacoronavirus (2). 66

67 Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV), in particular, was isolated from pigs in the United 68 States and many Asian countries including China, causing severe diarrhea, vomiting, and 69 dehydration in nursing piglets recently (3, 4). PDCoV and the other three emerging and re-70 emerging swine enteric CoVs (SECoVs), including porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV), 71 transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), and a newly discovered swine enteric 72 alphacoronavirus (SeACoV) derived from the bat CoV HKU2 (5), have been causing a high 73 number of pig deaths and significant economic impacts, which are considered a serious threat 74 to the pork industry (3-7). PDCoV genomic RNA is approximately 25.4 kb in size. The 75 genome organization of PDCoV is similar to those of the other reported coronaviruses, with 76 the typical gene order 5'-ORF1a/1b-Spike (S)-Envelope (E)-Membrane (M)-NS6-77 Nucleocapsid (N)/NS7-3' (2, 8). PDCoV is closely related to the sparrow CoV HKU17 (more 78 than 90% amino acid identities in all seven domains in ORF1a/1b) and they are believed to 79 be subspecies of the same species. Molecular clock analysis showed that the PDCoV jumped 80 from birds to mammals approximately 523 years ago (2).

81 Identification of cellular receptors used by CoV for binding and entry into host cells is 82 critical to understand pathogenesis and to develop intervention strategies. As of date, four 83 types of CoV functional protein receptors have been identified: (i) aminopeptidase N (APN)

84 for several AlphaCoVs including TGEV (9), (ii) carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell 85 adhesion molecule 1 (CEACAM1), (iii) angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), and (iv) dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) for three distinct BetaCoVs, mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) 86 87 (10), severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) (11) and Middle East 88 respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (12), respectively. Interestingly, the human 89 ACE2 can also serve as the entry receptor for AlphaCoV human coronavirus (HCoV) NL63 90 in addition to SARS-CoV (13). These receptors interact with the amino-terminal receptor-91 binding domain S1 of specific CoV S glycoproteins, which determined the cross-species 92 transmission and infection of CoVs (9-15).

93 While functional receptors for the representative members in Alphacoronavirus and 94 Betacoronavirus have been continuously discovered, receptors for Gammacoronavirus and 95 Deltacoronavirus are still unknown. In the current study, we demonstrate that, similar to 96 ACE2, porcine APN (pAPN) acts as a cross-genus CoV functional receptor for both porcine 97 DeltaCoV (PDCoV) and AlphaCoV (TGEV) based upon three lines of evidences. First, the soluble Fc-fusion S1 protein of PDCoV efficiently bound to the surface of target porcine cell 98 99 lines known to express pAPN as TGEV-S1-Fc did, which could be blocked by soluble pAPN 100 pre-treatment. Second, either PDCoV-S1 or TGEV-S1 physically recognized and interacted 101 with pAPN by co-immunoprecipitation (IP) in pAPN-cDNA-transfected cells and by dot blot 102 hybridization assay. Finally, exogenous expression of pAPN in refractory cells conferred 103 susceptibility to PDCoV-S1 binding, and most importantly, for PDCoV entry and productive 104 infection.

105

106 **RESULTS**

Soluble TGEV-S1 or PDCoV-S1 binding to porcine permissive cells endogenously
expressing pAPN. It has been well known that swine testicular (ST) cells and porcine kidney
epithelial LLC-PK1 cells are permissive for TGEV infection (9, 16). We noticed that PDCoV

110 was initially isolated and propagated in these two cell lines (3, 4), suggesting a common cell 111 tropism of TGEV and PDCoV. In addition, neither African green monkey Vero cells (ATCC 112 CCL-81) nor hamster BHK-21 cells are permissive for TGEV or PDCoV infection in vitro in 113 our lab. To investigate whether PDCoV-S1 determines the cell tropism as that documented 114 for TGEV-S1 (14), we generated the S1-human Fc (hFc) chimeric proteins from PDCoV 115 (Chinese/Hunan strain; GenBank accession no. KY513724) and TGEV (prototype Purdue 116 strain) (5), respectively. As expected, soluble TGEV-S1-hFc bound to target LLC-PK1 or ST 117 cells, but not to non-susceptible Vero or BHK-21 cells by using flow cytometry analysis (Fig. 118 1A). Next we tested the binding of soluble PDCoV-S1 under the same conditions. 119 Comparison of cellular surface binding of PDCoV-S1-hFc to LLC-PK1 or ST cells indicated 120 significant similarities with TGEV-S1 binding, whereas S1-hFc binding was not detected in 121 Vero or BHK-21 cells (Fig. 1B), which is correlated with infection of PDCoV.

122 We further confirmed that both LLC-PK1 and ST cells had endogenous expression of 123 pAPN whereas Vero or BHK-21 cells lacked APN counterpart expression by western blotting 124 (WB) analysis using a broadly reactive anti-APN antibody (Ab) (Fig. 1C). As controls, two 125 stable cell lines Vero-pAPN and BHK-pAPN, both expressing pAPN, were established, by 126 transfection with a recombinant construct, pAPN-Myc, expressing full-length pAPN cDNA 127 fused with a Myc tag at the C-terminus, followed by selection with puromycin. Expression of 128 pAPN was detected in Vero-pAPN cells (Fig. 1C). Comparison of APN expression between 129 BHK-21 cells and BHK-pAPN had the similar result, showing pAPN expression only in 130 BHK-pAPN cells (Fig. 1C). Thus, LLC-PK1 and ST cells are susceptible to both TGEV-S1 131 and PDCoV-S1 binding, permissive to both TGEV and PDCoV infection and express the 132 TGEV receptor pAPN, whereas Vero and BHK-21 cells are not susceptible to binding, not 133 permissive to infection and do not express APN.

134Interaction between PDCoV-S1/TGEV-S1 and pAPN associated with cell tropism.

135 The interaction between PDCoV-S1 and pAPN was analyzed by co-IP. BHK-21 cells were

136

137 vector expressing hFc alone, or co-transfected of each hFc construct with pAPN-Myc 138 plasmid. Expression of transfected Fc-tagged proteins and pAPN were confirmed in whole 139 cell lysates by WB with anti-Fc Ab and anti-Myc Ab, respectively (Fig. 2A, bottom). The 140 transfected BHK21 cells were then immunoprecipitated with Fc tag preadsorbed onto protein 141 A conjugated agarose beads. The bound protein complexes were subjected to WB analysis 142 with anti-Myc Ab or anti-Fc Ab. As shown in Fig. 2A (top), pAPN-Myc bound specifically 143 to either PDCoV-S1-hFc or TGEV-S1-hFc. In contrast, pAPN-Myc did not bind to the 144 control hFc protein (lanes 3 and 5). Notably, the amount of pAPN-Myc brought down by 145 PDCoV-S1-hFc (lane 4, top) was significantly less than that was brought down by TGEV-S1-146 hFc (lane 6, top), whereas the expression level of pAPN-Myc is more abundant in lane 4 than 147 in lane 6. Since equal amounts of plasmid DNA of PDCoV-S1-hFc and TGEV-S1-hFc were 148 input for the IP experiment, as shown by no significant difference in the detection level 149 between S1-Fc proteins either in IP or in whole cell lysates (WCL) (Fig. 2A), the IP result 150 suggests that PDCoV-S1 may have a lower pAPN-binding affinity than TGEV-S1.

transfected with PDCoV-S1-hFc or TGEV-S1-hFc expression construct alone, or an empty

151 To further validate the specific interaction between PDCoV-S1 and pAPN, a dot blot 152 hybridization assay was conducted. It was shown that both TGEV-S1-hFc and PDCoV-S1-153 hFc efficiently bound to the soluble pAPN ectodomain tagged with a mouse Fc (pAPN-mFc) 154 but not to the mFc control. On the other hand, the hFc bound to neither pAPN-mFc nor mFc 155 (Fig. 2B). These results demonstrated that either PDCoV-S1 or TGEV-S1 physically 156 recognized and interacted with pAPN.,

157 Next, the soluble pAPN-mFc or mFc was preincubated with TGEV-S1-hFc, PDCoV-S1-158 hFc or hFc; the LLC-PK1 or ST cells were then subjected to flow cytometry analysis with the 159 mixtures as described in Fig. 1A and 1B. Treatment of S1-hFc with pAPN-mFc but not mFc 160 blocked surface binding (Fig. 3A), indicating that PDCoV-S1 or TGEV-S1 does employ 161 pAPN for cellular binding on host (swine) cells. These data collectively demonstrate a direct and specific interaction between PDCoV-S1/TGEV-S1 and pAPN associated with celltropism.

164 Exogenous pAPN expression in refractory Vero or BHK-21 cells confers 165 susceptibility to PDCoV-S1 or TGEV-S1 binding. We next determined whether pAPN 166 could indeed mediate PDCoV entry in refractory cells. The full-length cDNA encoding 167 pAPN fused with a Myc tag (pAPN-Myc) was stably expressed by puromycin selection in 168 Vero or BHK-21 cell lines (Vero-pAPN or BHK-pAPN), as shown by WB analysis (Fig. 1C). 169 Furthermore, surface expression of pAPN on Vero-pAPN or BHK-pAPN was validated by 170 detection of efficient binding of TGEV-S1-hFc or PDCoV-S1-hFc by flow cytometry 171 analysis, which could be blocked by soluble pAPN-mFc pre-treatment (Fig. 3B), similar to 172 what was observed in LLC-PK1 or ST cells (Fig. 3A). In contrast, the two S1-hFc did not 173 bind to the parental cell lines (Fig. 3B), which was in line with the result in Fig. 1A and 1B. 174 As controls, the two S1-hFc soluble proteins did not bind to Vero cells overexpressing the 175 SARS-CoV and HCoV-NL63 receptor ACE2 (11, 13) or BHK-21 cells exogenously 176 expressing ACE2 (Fig. 3B). Therefore, exogenous expression of pAPN in refractory Vero or 177 BHK-21 cells conferred specific susceptibility to PDCoV-S1 or TGEV-S1 binding. In 178 addition, cytoplasmic expression of pAPN in Vero-pAPN or BHK-pAPN was also validated 179 by immunofluorescence assay (IFA) using anti-Myc Ab or anti-pAPN Ab (Fig. 4A).

180 Exogenous pAPN expression allows refractory cell lines to support PDCoV efficient 181 replication and productive infection. The Vero, Vero-pAPN, BHK-21 and BHK-pAPN cell 182 lines were inoculated with either TGEV or PDCoV at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 183 0.1, respectively. As expected, TGEV N protein antigens were detected and spread in the 184 cytoplasm of 35-40% of either Vero-pAPN or BHK-pAPN cells by 36 h post-inoculation 185 (hpi), but no viral antigens were found in challenged Vero or BHK-21 cells (Fig. 4A, top). 186 PDCoV also infected Vero-pAPN cells with an efficiency of 25-30% or BHK-pAPN cells 187 with an efficiency of 30-35%, but did not infect Vero or BHK-21 cells by 36 hpi, when

8

Downloaded from http://jvi.asm.org/ on April 4, 2018 by KENT STATE UNIV LIBRARY

assessed by detection of specific PDCoV N protein expression (Fig. 4A, bottom). A slightly
lower infection efficiency of PDCoV than that of TGEV could likely be accounted for by the
possibly lower pAPN-binding affinity of PDCoV-S1 (Fig. 2A). Development of cytopathic
effects characterized by cell rounding, aggregation and subsequent detachment in PDCoVinfected Vero-pAPN cells was observed (Fig. 4B).

193 To determine whether pAPN-expressing cells could confer PDCoV replication 194 competency, Vero-pAPN or PDCoV-target LLC-PK1 cells were inoculated with PDCoV 195 (MOI=0.1) with or without soluble pAPN pre-incubation, and the viral RNA in the 196 supernatant of cell lysates at 2, 8 and 24 hpi were assessed by quantitative RT-PCR, 197 respectively. Fig. 5 showed that PDCoV RNA was synthesized gradually from two types of 198 cells. Moreover, soluble pAPN pre-incubation with PDCoV blocked viral replication at the 199 early stage (2 and 8 hpi), indicating that replication-competent PDCoV utilizes pAPN as an 200 entry receptor (Fig. 5). At 24 hpi, inhibition of PDCoV replication by soluble pAPN was not 201 significant in both cell lines, suggesting that PDCoV is probably propagated and spread from cell-to-cell by this time point (Fig. 5). 202

203 The progressive PDCoV release into the cultured medium ("extracellular") was 204 determined by dynamic viral RNA synthesis and virus titers. Both extracellular and 205 intracellular PDCoV RNA and extracellular virus titers could be detected in the supernatants 206 of Vero-pAPN and the control LLC-PK1 cells but not in the supernatants of Vero cells during 207 a period of 72 hpi (Fig. 6A and 6B), indicating RNA replication and a productive PDCoV 208 infection of Vero-pAPN cells. Since the extracellular PDCoV infectious titers were assessed 209 on fresh LLC-PK1 cells by endpoint dilutions (titration), the result also demonstrated that 210 PDCoV secreted from Vero-pAPN cells could be passaged (Fig. 6B). Progeny PDCoV 211 infection of fresh LLC-PK1 cells was also validated by IFA using an anti-PDCoV-N Ab (Fig. 212 6C). The PDCoV growth curve in Vero-pAPN cells was lower than that in control LLC-PK1

cells; but reached the peak titers in the period of 48-72 hpi ($5.12 \log_{10} \text{TCID}_{50}$ /ml and $6.27 \log_{10} \text{TCID}_{50}$ /ml, respectively)

215 For comparison of infection efficiency, we also determined the growth kinetics of TGEV 216 secreted from Vero-pAPN, Vero or from control LLC-PK1 cells on fresh LLC-PK1 cells 217 (Fig. 6B). No TGEV was produced in Vero cells. In control LLC-PK1 cells, extracelluar 218 TGEV had a growth curve with virus titers analogous to extracelluar PDCoV, while for Vero-219 pAPN cells inoculated with either TGEV or PDCoV, extracelluar TGEV propagated more 220 efficiently than extracelluar PDCoV, reaching a peak titer at 48 hpi (5.67 \log_{10} TCID₅₀/ml), 221 and with approximately 3- to 10-fold higher titers during 6-48 hpi (Fig. 6B). The kinetics data 222 was consistent with the distinct expression level of N proteins between TGEV and PDCoV 223 (Fig. 4A).

Theses results collectively demonstrated that exogenous expression of recombinant pAPN in refractory cell lines is sufficient to allow binding, entry, synthesis of viral RNA and protein and release of infectious PDCoV. Therefore, pAPN serves as a functional receptor for both PDCoV and TGEV.

228

229 DISCUSSION

230 Identification of the host functional receptor for a pathogenic virus is very important for 231 understanding the mechanisms of virus-host interplay. APN, also known as a type II zinc 232 metalloprotease, mediates the entry of most of AlphaCoVs (9, 17, 18). Our study indicated 233 that PDCoV in the newly defined *Deltacoronavirus* genus engages the same pAPN receptor, 234 which is expressed in abundance in the porcine small intestinal mucosa, to infect the same 235 target cells as TGEV (9), likely leading to induction of clinical signs of diarrhea in piglets. 236 The highly conserved feature of receptor engagement between PDCoV and TGEV is 237 consistent with a closely molecular architecture of the S glycoproteins (19), common cell

lournal of Virology

tropism (4, 9, 16) and consequent pathogenesis exhibited by these two SECoVs in distinct
CoV genus (3).

240 Intriguingly, among four pathogenic SECoVs, the AlphaCoV PEDV was previously 241 reported to utilize pAPN as an entry receptor by using a pseudotype assay with PEDV S 242 protein (20). However, recent studies have demonstrated that, none of the pAPN, human 243 APN (hAPN), or green monkey APN is a functional receptor for PEDV in cultured porcine, 244 human or Vero cells (21-23). Moreover, we found that a recombinant PEDV expressing GFP, 245 PEDV-GFP (23), and other Chinese and U.S. genogroup-1 and genogroup-2 PEDV strains 246 (6, 24, 25) did not infect BHK-21 or BHK-pAPN cells (data not shown). Since BHK-21 is the 247 refractory cell line to infection of PEDV, TGEV and PDCoV; but it can support PEDV 248 replication and production by transfection of PEDV infectious cDNA clones (unpublished 249 data), the comparative results reveal that BHK-pAPN cells conferred TGEV and PDCoV but 250 not PEDV infection. This provides an additional evidence of exclusive engagement of pAPN 251 for PDCoV and TGEV.

Most recently, our lab discovered a novel bat-CoV-HKU2-related swine enteric virus, SeACoV, in southern China (5). The SeACoV is unique since it has an AlphaCoV genomic backbone with an S gene phylogenetically related to that from BetaCoV (5). Members of BetaCoV have not been found to use APN as receptor, and SeACoV infects monkey-APNdeficient Vero cells as PEDV does (5), suggesting that APN is likely not the entry receptor for SeACoV.

TGEV infection is highly natural host specific *in vitro* and *in vivo*, in that TGEV uses pAPN but not hAPN as its cellular receptor. A related human AlphaCoV, HCoV-229E, utilizes hAPN but not pAPN to enter host cells (17, 26). Mutagenesis study and subsequent determination of the crystal structure of pAPN revealed that different virus-binding motifs (VBMs) in pAPN/hAPN containing species-specific *N*-linked glycan are required to mediate susceptibility to infection with TGEV and HCoV-229E (27, 28). TGEV recognizes APN 264 residues 728-744 (VBM2) whereas HCoV-229E recognizes residues 283-292 (VBM1); both 265 regions are located on the outer surface of APN and can be approached easily by viruses (27). 266 Furthermore, the crystal structure of porcine respiratory CoV (PRCV; a TGEV variant) 267 receptor-binding domain (RBD) on S1 in complex with pAPN revealed that Tyr-304 and Trp-268 347 residues at the two RBD protruding tips penetrate small cavities of the APN domain DIV 269 (containing VBM2), and the other RBD residues contact an N-acetyl glucosamine linked to 270 Asn-736 of pAPN, which are critical for PRCV/TGEV binding to APN (29). The absence of 271 an APN-binding Tyr and a different conformation of the receptor-binding loop at the tip in 272 HCoV-229E RBD are in line with a distinct VBM (e.g. VBM1) recognized by HCoV-229E, 273 although the structure of complex of HCoV-229E RBD and hAPN has not yet been resolved. 274 More recently, a near atomic-resolution cryo-electron microscopy structure of PDCoV S 275 glycoprotein trimer has revealed that the PDCoV RBD displays a \beta-sandwich fold 276 reminiscent of that of AlphaCoVs, harboring topologically similar glycosylation sites on the 277 β-sandwich surface (19). Several aromatic residues (Phe-318, Tyr-394, Trp-396 and Tyr-398) at the protruding tips have also been speculated to mediate receptor-RBD interaction (19). 278

279 However, since PDCoV can also infect some human cell lines such as Huh-7 expressing 280 hAPN in vitro (preliminary data not shown), and calves in vivo (30), and could be detected in 281 Asian leopard cats and Chinese ferret badgers (31), we hypothesize that PDCoV can utilize 282 APNs from the other mammalian species as the receptor, which distinguishes TGEV and 283 HCoV-229E in usage of host-specific APN. If so, the VBM in APN recognized by PDCoV-284 S1 may be different from VBM1 by HCoV-229E or VBM2 recognized by TGEV. This 285 hypothesis is also supported by the experimental data from this study, where we found that 286 the results of co-IP, IFA and comparative growth kinetics (Fig. 2A, Fig. 4A and Fig. 6B) 287 indicated the likely differences in receptor-binding affinity and in consequent infection 288 efficiency in Vero-pAPN cells between PDCoV and TGEV. If so, the structural basis for this 289 APN-binding by cross-genus CoVs is totally distinct from what have been known for ACE2-

binding by SARS-CoV and HCoV-NL63 (32, 33). In the latter case, SARS-CoV does not
have homologous structure in the RBD core with HCoV-NL63; but both viruses recognize a
"virus-binding hotspot" in the common ACE2 region (32). Future studies on mapping of the
PDCoV-binding VBM in pAPN and resolving the structure of PDCoV-RBD in complex with
pAPN, are warranted to confirm our hypothesis.

Since *Deltacoronavirus* is believed to originate from avian CoVs (2), our result also raises a question whether the APN counterparts in avian species play a role in PDCoV crossspecies transmission. Nevertheless, the present study suggests that PDCoV has evolved a mechanism that utilizes pAPN as its entry receptor to expand host range. The identification of pAPN as a functional receptor used by PDCoV paves the way for dissecting the molecular mechanisms of PDCoV-host interactions and pathogenesis as well as facilitates future vaccine development and intervention strategies against PDCoV infection.

302

303 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines, virus stocks and viral antibodies. A porcine kidney epithelial cell line LLC-PK1 (ATCC CL-101), a swine testis cell line ST (ATCC CRL-1746), a baby hamster kidney fibroblast cell line BHK-21 (ATCC CCL-10), and an African green monkey kidney epithelial Vero cell (ATCC CCL-81) were individually grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% antibiotics (penicillin, streptomycin, w/y). All cells were grown at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

The TGEV Purdue strain (a gift from Dr. Rong Ye at Shanghai Medical College of Fudan University, China) was produced in ST cells, whereas the PDCoV CH/Hunan/2014 strain (GenBank accession no. KY513724) was propagated in LLC-PK1 cells (5). ST or LLC-PK1 cells were seeded at 70% confluency in T-25 flasks and incubated overnight. After washing the cells with PBS, viruses at MOI = 0.1 were added to each flask. After 2 h incubation at 37 °C, the cells were washed with PBS and then cultured in DMEM without Downloaded from http://jvi.asm.org/ on April 4, 2018 by KENT STATE UNIV LIBRARY

FBS. Cells were observed daily to check for any cytopathic effect (CPE). When CPE was obvious, whole cell cultures (supernatant and cells) were harvested and subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles prior to removal of cell debris by centrifugation. The virus titers of TGEV or PDCoV were determined by endpoint dilutions as 50% tissue culture infective dose (TCID₅₀) on fresh cells as described previously (24). Virus stocks were stored at -80°C until use.

Anti-PDCoV-nucleocapsid (N) monoclonal antibody (mAb) was purchased from Medgene Labs (Brookings, SD, USA), whereas anti-TGEV-N polyclonal antibody (pAb) was generated in-house. Briefly, the full-length N protein of TGEV with a $6 \times$ histidine tag expressed in *E. coli* was purified and used to immunize two New Zealand White rabbits. Antisera were harvested and affinity purified at 55 days postimmunization.

327 Construction of the recombinant plasmids. The complete coding region of porcine 328 APN (pAPN; GenBank accession no. KX342854) was amplified by one-step RT-PCR using 329 total RNAs extracted from porcine small intestine, and subsequently cloned into a pCI-neo 330 vector (Promega, USA) using NheI and SalI restriction sites. The recombinant plasmid was 331 designated as pCI-pAPN (23). Expression of pAPN protein in vitro was confirmed by 332 transiently transfection of pCI-pAPN in BHK-21 or Vero cells by immunofluorescence assay 333 (IFA) with an anti-APN pAb (Abcam #93897) (23). Next, the pAPN ectodomain encoding 334 amino acid (aa) 62-963 (27) was amplified from pCI-pAPN and inserted into a pFUSE-335 mIgG1-Fc2 vector (Invivogen, USA) containing an in-frame C-terminal murine IgG1 Fc 336 (mFc) fragment, to construct an expression construct named pAPN-mFc. The third pAPN-337 expressing construct, pAPN-Myc, harboring the full-length pAPN cDNA fused with a Myc 338 tag at the C-terminus, was engineered between XhoI and HpaI restriction sites in a lentiviral 339 vector pLV-CMV-PURO (kindly provided by Prof. Pinglong Xu at Life Sciences Institute of 340 Zhejiang University). For construction of soluble human IgG1 Fc (hFc) fusion proteins, 341 TGEV-S1 (aa 1-832) and PDCoV-S1 (aa 1-574) subunits were amplified by PCR from the

respective full-length cDNA of the viral genome and inserted into a pFUSE-hIgG1-Fc1
vector (Invivogen, USA). The recombinant plasmids were designated as pFUSE-TGEV-S1hFc and pFUSE-PDCoV-S1-hFc. The expression plasmid harboring the full-length cDNA of
the SARS-CoV cellular receptor ACE2, pCMV3-Flag-ACE2 (#HG10108-NF), was
purchased from Sino Biological Inc. (Beijing, China).

Generation of two stable cell lines expressing pAPN. Two stable cell lines, VeropAPN and BHK-pAPN, were established in Vero and BHK-21 cells by transfection with the construct pAPN-Myc followed by selection with 10 μg/ml of puromycin, respectively, according to described previously (34). Expression of pAPN-Myc fusion protein was confirmed by IFA with an anti-Myc mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, #2276) and an anti-APN pAb. PDCoV or TGEV was inoculated and cultured in Vero-pAPN, Vero, BHK-pAPN or BHK-21 cells in the presence of trypsin (3 μg/ml; Sigma).

354 Flow cytometry analysis. We followed the protocol as described previously in ref. (21). 355 Briefly, soluble hFc and mFc fusion proteins (TGEV-S1-hFc, PDCoV-S1-hFc, hFc, mFc and pAPN-mFc) were transiently expressed in 293T cells and affinity purified from the 356 357 supernatant medium using protein A sepharose beads (Transbionovo, Beijing, China), 358 respectively. Analysis of purified proteins was performed by sodium dodecyl sulfate 359 polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) to ensure the purity and quality (data not 360 shown). Binding of soluble Fc proteins to surface of given cells was performed by incubation 361 with 10 µg/ml of purified S1-hFc proteins followed by detection with a FITC-conjugated 362 anti-human IgG Fc Ab (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by flow cytometer. Blocking of S1-hFc 363 was performed by preincubation with soluble pAPN-mFc or mFc for 2 h prior to conducting 364 surface binding assay.

365 Immunofluorescence assay, western blot and dot blot hybridization assay. Plasmids
366 were transiently transfected into Vero or BHK-21 cells using Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo
367 Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Transfected cells or virus-

368

369 assay, co-IP or western blot to detect protein expression or interaction. For IFA, cells were 370 washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed with acetone. Cells were then 371 incubated with the primary Ab. After incubation for 1 hour at room temperature, the cells 372 were washed with PBS and stained with the secondary Ab followed by 4', 6-diamidino-2-373 phenylindole (DAPI) staining. For western blot analysis, cells were lysed in 125 µl CelLytic M lysis buffer (Sigma) per 10^6 cells. The whole cell lysates (WCL) was preadsorbed onto 374 375 protein A conjugated agarose beads prior to SDS-PAGE for co-IP analysis, or was used for 376 SDS-PAGE directly. Samples were resolved on SDS-PAGE and transferred onto 377 polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane that was subsequently blocked with Tris-378 buffered saline (TBS) containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) overnight at 4°C. Proteins 379 were detected using the primary Ab followed by incubation with horseradish peroxidase 380 (HRP)-conjugated secondary Ab (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Binding of Fc-tagged proteins 381 (TGEV-S1-hFc, PDCoV-S1-hFc or hFc) to soluble pAPN-mFc or mFc was detected by dot 382 blot hybridization assay as described by Li et al (21).

infected cells were cultured for 36 to 72 hours, and then applied to immunofluorescence

383 Quantitative RT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from supernatant medium and cell 384 lysates of PDCoV-inoculated cells at different time points using an AxyPrep Multisource 385 Total RNA Miniprep Kit (Axygen). PDCoV RNA titer was monitored by one-step qRT-PCR 386 targeting the membrane (M) gene with the primers (5'-ATCGACCACATGGCTCCAA-3' 387 and 5'-CAGCTCTTGCCCATGTAGCTT-3') and the FAMprobe, 388 CACACCAGTCGTTAAGCATGGCAAGCT-BHQ) as described previously (35, 36). 389 Standard curves were performed to allow absolute quantitation of PDCoV RNA copy 390 numbers based upon the levels of *in-vitro*-transcribed RNA containing the targeting 391 sequences. Samples with a cycle threshold value of <35 were considered positive based upon 392 validation data using the standard RNA.

16

lournal of Virology

393

394 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

395 This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of 396 China (2016YFD0500102), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31572518), 397 the Key Research and Development Program of Zhejiang province (2015C02021), the 398 Thousand Young Talent Program of China, and the Zhejiang Provincial Science Foundation 399 for Distinguished Young Scholars (LR14C180001). We thank Novoprotein Inc. (Shanghai, 400 China) for assistance in protein purification.

- 401
- 402

References

403 de Groot RJ, Baker SC, Baric R, Enjuanes L, Gorbalenya AE, Holmes KV, 1. 404 Perlman S, Poon L, Rottier PJM, Talbot PJ, Woo PCY, Ziebuhr J. 2011. 405 Coronaviridae, p 806-828. In King AMQ, Adams MJ, Carstens EB, Lefkowitz EJ 406 (ed), Virus taxonomy : ninth report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. Elsevier Academic Press, London. 407

408 2. Woo PC, Lau SK, Lam CS, Lau CC, Tsang AK, Lau JH, Bai R, Teng JL, Tsang 409 CC, Wang M, Zheng BJ, Chan KH, Yuen KY. 2012. Discovery of seven novel 410 Mammalian and avian coronaviruses in the genus deltacoronavirus supports bat 411 coronaviruses as the gene source of alphacoronavirus and betacoronavirus and avian 412 coronaviruses as the gene source of gammacoronavirus and deltacoronavirus. J Virol 413 86:3995-4008.

414 3. Jung K, Hu H, Saif LJ. 2016. Porcine deltacoronavirus infection: Etiology, cell 415 culture for virus isolation and propagation, molecular epidemiology and pathogenesis. 416 Virus Res 226:50-59.

- 417 4. Ma Y, Zhang Y, Liang X, Lou F, Oglesbee M, Krakowka S, Li J. 2015. Origin,
 418 evolution, and virulence of porcine deltacoronaviruses in the United States. MBio
 419 6:e00064.
- 420 5. Pan Y, Tian X, Qin P, Wang B, Zhao P, Yang YL, Wang L, Wang D, Song Y,
 421 Zhang X, Huang YW. 2017. Discovery of a novel swine enteric alphacoronavirus
 422 (SeACoV) in southern China. Veterinary Microbiology 211:15-21.
- Huang YW, Dickerman AW, Pineyro P, Li L, Fang L, Kiehne R, Opriessnig T,
 Meng XJ. 2013. Origin, evolution, and genotyping of emergent porcine epidemic
 diarrhea virus strains in the United States. MBio 4:e00737-00713.
- Tian PF, Jin YL, Xing G, Qv LL, Huang YW, Zhou JY. 2014. Evidence of
 recombinant strains of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus, United States, 2013. Emerg
 Infect Dis 20:1735-1738.
- 429 8. Wang YW, Yue H, Fang W, Huang YW. 2015. Complete Genome Sequence of
 430 Porcine Deltacoronavirus Strain CH/Sichuan/S27/2012 from Mainland China.
 431 Genome Announc 3:e00945-00915.
- 432 9. Delmas B, Gelfi J, L'Haridon R, Vogel LK, Sjostrom H, Noren O, Laude H.
 433 1992. Aminopeptidase N is a major receptor for the entero-pathogenic coronavirus
 434 TGEV. Nature 357:417-420.
- 435 10. Williams RK, Jiang GS, Holmes KV. 1991. Receptor for mouse hepatitis virus is a
 436 member of the carcinoembryonic antigen family of glycoproteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci
 437 U S A 88:5533-5536.
- Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N, Sui J, Wong SK, Berne MA, Somasundaran M,
 Sullivan JL, Luzuriaga K, Greenough TC, Choe H, Farzan M. 2003. Angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. Nature
 426:450-454.

442 12. Raj VS, Mou H, Smits SL, Dekkers DH, Muller MA, Dijkman R, Muth D, 443 Demmers JA, Zaki A, Fouchier RA, Thiel V, Drosten C, Rottier PJ, Osterhaus 444 AD, Bosch BJ, Haagmans BL. 2013. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 is a functional receptor 445 for the emerging human coronavirus-EMC. Nature 495:251-254. 446 13. Hofmann H, Pyrc K, van der Hoek L, Geier M, Berkhout B, Pohlmann S. 2005. 447 Human coronavirus NL63 employs the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 448 receptor for cellular entry. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:7988-7993. 449 14. Godet M, Grosclaude J, Delmas B, Laude H. 1994. Major receptor-binding and 450 neutralization determinants are located within the same domain of the transmissible 451 gastroenteritis virus (coronavirus) spike protein. J Virol 68:8008-8016. 452 15. Delmas B, Gelfi J, Kut E, Sjostrom H, Noren O, Laude H. 1994. Determinants 453 essential for the transmissible gastroenteritis virus-receptor interaction reside within a 454 domain of aminopeptidase-N that is distinct from the enzymatic site. J Virol 68:5216-455 5224. 456 16. Rossen JW, Bekker CP, Voorhout WF, Strous GJ, van der Ende A, Rottier PJ. 457 1994. Entry and release of transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus are restricted to 458 apical surfaces of polarized epithelial cells. J Virol 68:7966-7973. 459 17. Yeager CL, Ashmun RA, Williams RK, Cardellichio CB, Shapiro LH, Look AT, 460 Holmes KV. 1992. Human aminopeptidase N is a receptor for human coronavirus 461 229E. Nature 357:420-422. 462 18. Tresnan DB, Levis R, Holmes KV. 1996. Feline aminopeptidase N serves as a 463 receptor for feline, canine, porcine, and human coronaviruses in serogroup I. J Virol 464 70:8669-8674. 465 19. Xiong X, Tortorici MA, Snijder J, Yoshioka C, Walls AC, Li W, McGuire AT, 466 Rey FA, Bosch BJ, Veesler D. 2017. Glycan shield and fusion activation of a

- 469 Liu C, Tang J, Ma Y, Liang X, Yang Y, Peng G, Qi Q, Jiang S, Li J, Du L, Li F. 20. 470 2015. Receptor usage and cell entry of porcine epidemic diarrhea coronavirus. J Virol 471 **89:**6121-6125.
- 472 Li W, Luo R, He Q, van Kuppeveld FJM, Rottier PJM, Bosch BJ. 2017. 21. 473 Aminopeptidase N is not required for porcine epidemic diarrhea virus cell entry. 474 Virus Res 235:6-13.
- 475 22. Shirato K, Maejima M, Islam MT, Miyazaki A, Kawase M, Matsuyama S, 476 Taguchi F. 2016. Porcine aminopeptidase N is not a cellular receptor of porcine 477 epidemic diarrhea virus, but promotes its infectivity via aminopeptidase activity. J 478 Gen Virol 97:2528-2539.
- 479 23. Ji CM, Wang B, Zhou J, Huang YW. 2018. Aminopeptidase-N-independent entry 480 of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus into Vero or porcine small intestine epithelial cells. Virology **517:**16-23. 481
- 482 24. Qin P, Li H, Wang JW, Wang B, Xie RH, Xu H, Zhao LY, Li L, Pan Y, Song Y, 483 Huang YW. 2017. Genetic and pathogenic characterization of a novel reassortant 484 mammalian orthoreovirus 3 (MRV3) from a diarrheic piglet and seroepidemiological 485 survey of MRV3 in diarrheic pigs from east China. Veterinary Microbiology 486 **208:**126-136.
- 487 25. Zhao P, Wang B, Ji CM, Cong X, Wang M, Huang YW. 2018. Identification of a 488 peptide derived from the heptad repeat 2 region of the porcine epidemic diarrhea virus 489 (PEDV) spike glycoprotein that is capable of suppressing PEDV entry and inducing 490 neutralizing antibodies. Antiviral Res 150:1-8.

- 491 26. Kolb AF, Maile J, Heister A, Siddell SG. 1996. Characterization of functional 492 domains in the human coronavirus HCV 229E receptor. J Gen Virol 77 (Pt 10):2515-493 2521.
- 494 27. Chen L, Lin YL, Peng G, Li F. 2012. Structural basis for multifunctional roles of 495 mammalian aminopeptidase N. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109:17966-17971.
- 496 28. Wentworth DE, Holmes KV. 2001. Molecular determinants of species specificity in 497 the coronavirus receptor aminopeptidase N (CD13): influence of N-linked 498 glycosylation. J Virol 75:9741-9752.
- 499 29. Reguera J, Santiago C, Mudgal G, Ordono D, Enjuanes L, Casasnovas JM. 2012. 500 Structural bases of coronavirus attachment to host aminopeptidase N and its inhibition 501 by neutralizing antibodies. PLoS Pathog 8:e1002859.
- 502 30. Jung K, Hu H, Saif LJ. 2017. Calves are susceptible to infection with the newly 503 emerged porcine deltacoronavirus, but not with the swine enteric alphacoronavirus, 504 porcine epidemic diarrhea virus. Arch Virol 162:2357-2362.
- Dong BQ, Liu W, Fan XH, Vijaykrishna D, Tang XC, Gao F, Li LF, Li GJ, 505 31.
- 506 Zhang JX, Yang LQ, Poon LL, Zhang SY, Peiris JS, Smith GJ, Chen H, Guan Y.
- 507 2007. Detection of a novel and highly divergent coronavirus from asian leopard cats 508 and Chinese ferret badgers in Southern China. J Virol 81:6920-6926.
- 509 32. Wu K, Li W, Peng G, Li F. 2009. Crystal structure of NL63 respiratory coronavirus 510 receptor-binding domain complexed with its human receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S 511 A 106:19970-19974.
- 512 33. Li F, Li W, Farzan M, Harrison SC. 2005. Structure of SARS coronavirus spike 513 receptor-binding domain complexed with receptor. Science **309**:1864-1868.
- 514 34. Huang YW, Dryman BA, Li W, Meng XJ. 2009. Porcine DC-SIGN: molecular 515 cloning, gene structure, tissue distribution and binding characteristics. Dev Comp 516 Immunol 33:464-480.

- 517 35. Marthaler D, Raymond L, Jiang Y, Collins J, Rossow K, Rovira A. 2014. Rapid
 518 detection, complete genome sequencing, and phylogenetic analysis of porcine
 519 deltacoronavirus. Emerg Infect Dis 20:1347-1350.
 520 36. Wang J, Lei X, Qin P, Zhao P, Wang B, Wang Y, Li Y, Jin H, Li L, Huang YW.
 521 2017. [Development and application of real-time RT-PCR and S1 protein-based
 522 indirect ELISA for porcine deltacoronavirus]. Sheng Wu Gong Cheng Xue Bao
- **33:**1265-1275.
- 524

525

Journal of Virology

FIGURE LEGENDS

527

526

528 Figure 1. Soluble TGEV-S1 or PDCoV-S1 binds to porcine permissive cells endogenously 529 expressing pAPN. (A) Binding of soluble TGEV S1 protein to cellular surface by flow 530 cytometry analysis. Equal amounts (10 µg/ml) of TGEV-S1-hFc (filled histogram) or hFc 531 (dashed line) were incubated with susceptible LLC-PK1 or ST cells, or non-susceptible Vero 532 or BHK-21 cells. Cellular surface binding was detected by a FITC-conjugated anti-human 533 IgG Fc. (B) Binding of soluble PDCoV S1 to LLC-PK1, ST cells, Vero or BHK-21 cells. 534 Equal amounts (10 µg/ml) of PDCoV-S1-hFc (filled histogram) or Fc only (dashed line) were 535 incubated with four cell lines, followed by a FITC-conjugated anti-human IgG Fc detection. 536 (C) Detection of endogenous expression of pAPN on LLC-PK1 or ST cells, or pAPN 537 exogenous expression on Vero-pAPN or BHK-pAPN stable cells by immunobloting analysis 538 using an anti-APN Ab.

539

540 Figure 2. PDCoV-S1 or TGEV-S1 interacts with pAPN. (A) BHK-21 cells were transfected 541 with equal amounts (2 µg) of the empty vector pFUSE-Fc alone, pFUSE-Fc and pAPN-Myc 542 (with a C-terminal Myc-tag), PDCoV-S1-Fc alone, PDCoV-S1-Fc and pAPN-Myc, TGEV-543 S1-Fc alone, and TGEV-S1-Fc and pAPN-Myc, respectively. The whole cell lysates (WCL) 544 was preadsorbed onto protein A conjugated agarose beads prior to SDS-PAGE for co-IP 545 analysis, or was used for SDS-PAGE directly. The protein complex was detected by using an 546 HRP-conjugated anti-Myc Ab and an HRP-conjugated anti-Fc Ab. (B) Detection of binding 547 of human Fc-tagged proteins (TGEV-S1-hFc, PDCoV-S1-hFc or hFc) to soluble pAPN by 548 dot blot hybridization assay. Porcine APN ectodomain tagged with a C-terminal murine IgG1 549 Fc (pAPN-mFc) or mFc was spotted on nitrocellulose membrane. Binding of S1-hFc proteins 550 to pAPN was detected by using an HRP-conjugated anti-human Fc Ab.

Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

<u>lournal</u> of Virology

551

552 Figure 3. Flow cytometry analysis of cellular surface expression of pAPN. (A) Soluble 553 pAPN-mFc (10 µg/ml) pre-incubation was able to block TGEV-S1-hFc or PDCoV-S1-hFc 554 binding to permissive LLC-PK1 or ST cells (grey-filled histogram), whereas 10 µg/ml of 555 soluble mFc pre-incubation did not block TGEV-S1-hFc or PDCoV-S1-hFc binding (black-556 filled histogram). Pre-incubation of pAPN-mFc followed by hFc binding was used as the 557 control (dashed line). Cellular surface binding was each detected by a FITC-conjugated anti-558 human IgG Fc. (B) TGEV-S1-hFc or PDCoV-S1-hFc bound to BHK-21 or Vero cells stably 559 expressing pAPN (BHK-pAPN or Vero-pAPN; red histogram) but not to BHK-21, Vero 560 (green histogram), BHK-21 expressing ACE2, or Vero expressing ACE2 cells (blue 561 histogram). Soluble pAPN-mFc (10 μ g/ml) pre-incubation was able to block TGEV-S1-hFc 562 or PDCoV-S1-hFc binding to BHK-pAPN or Vero-pAPN cells (yellow histogram). Cellular 563 surface binding was detected as described in Fig. 1A and 1B.

564

565 Figure 4. Vero or BHK-21 cells stably expressing pAPN confer susceptibility to PDCoV or 566 TGEV infection. (A) Vero, Vero-pAPN, BHK-21 and BHK-pAPN cells were challenged 567 with TGEV or PDCoV, respectively. At 36 hours post-challenge, TGEV-challenged cells 568 were co-stained with a rabbit anti-TGEV-N pAb and a mice anti-Myc mAb, whereas 569 PDCoV-challenged cells were co-stained with a mice anti-PDCoV-N mAb and a rabbit anti-570 APN pAb. Alexa Fluor 488- or 594-conjugated anti-rabbit or anti-mice IgG were co-stained 571 for secondary antibody detection followed by DAPI incubation. Magnification = $200 \times$. (B) 572 Evidence of PDCoV infection in Vero-pAPN cells showing cytopathic effects (CPE) with 573 cell rounding and aggregation (indicated by red arrows) at 36 h post-infection.

574

24

Figure 5. Infection of PDCoV in LLC-PK1 or Vero-pAPN cells was inhibited by soluble pAPN (at a concentration of 39 or 78 μ g/ml) within 24 hours. PDCoV RNA titers were measured by one-step quantitative RT-PCR targeting the M gene. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01.

578

579 Figure 6. Determination of the kinetics of PDCoV replication, propagation and release in 580 Vero-pAPN or control LLC-PK1 cells. (A) The amounts of extracellular and intracellular 581 viral RNA in Vero-pAPN, Vero and LLC-PK1 cells were assessed in triplicate by qRT-PCR, 582 respectively. (B) Virus titers (TCID₅₀/ml) of PDCoV or TGEV released into the supernatant 583 of inoculated Vero-pAPN, Vero or LLC-PK1 cells were determined in triplicate on fresh 584 LLC-PK1 cells. Samples of supernatants and cells were collected at intervals between 2 to 72 585 hours post-inoculation. Error bars indicate standard deviation. (C) Infection of fresh LLC-586 PK1 cells with progeny PDCoV collected from Vero-pAPN cells inoculated with PDCoV. 587 IFA was performed at 36 hpi. The expression of PDCoV N protein was detected by staining 588 with anti-PDCoV-N mAb and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG Ab (the 589 middle panel).





LLC-PKI Vero-PAPN Vero BHK-PAPN BHK-21

Downloaded from http://jvi.asm.org/ on April 4, 2018 by KENT STATE UNIV LIBRARY

Anti-APN

Anti-β actin



B



Z

Fig. 3



Accepted Manuscript Posted Online

A

TGEV

PDCoV



Vero



Anti-Myc

DAPI

Merge

Journal of Virology

 \leq







Z







Fig. 6



